

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

E. Abu-Assi is Associate Editor of *Revista Española de Cardiología*.

Emad Abu-Assi,^{a,*} Alessandro Sionis,^b Iván J. Núñez Gil,^c and Rosa María Lidón^d

^aServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Álvaro Cunqueiro, Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain

^bServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

^cServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

^dServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

* Corresponding author:

E-mail address: eabuassi@gmail.com (E. Abu-Assi).

Available online 27 December 2016

REFERENCES

1. Fernández Lozano I, Urkía C, Lopez Mesa JB, et al. Guías de resucitación cardiopulmonar 2015 del Consejo Europeo de Resucitación: puntos clave. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2016;69:588–594.
2. Kudenchuk PJ, Brown SP, Daya M, et al. Amiodarone, lidocaine, or placebo in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:1711–1722.
3. Nagao K, Nonogi H, Yonemoto N, et al. Duration of prehospital resuscitation efforts after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation.* 2016;133:1386–1396.
4. Shah RU, De Lemos JA, Wang TY, et al. Post-hospital outcomes of patients with acute myocardial infarction with cardiogenic shock: findings from the NCDR. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;67:739–747.
5. Zarbock A, Kellum JA, Schmidt C, et al. Effect of early vs delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy on mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: the ELAIN Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2016;315:2190–2199.
6. Gaudry S, Hajage D, Schortgen F, et al. Initiation strategies for renal-replacement therapy in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:122–133.

SEE RELATED ARTICLE:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2016.09.034>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2016.12.014>

1885–5857/

© 2016 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Selection of the Best of 2016 in Vascular Risk and Cardiac Rehabilitation



Selección de lo mejor del año 2016 en riesgo vascular y rehabilitación cardíaca

To the Editor,

Various studies with considerable impact in the field of cardiovascular prevention and cardiac rehabilitation have been published in 2016. Three of these studies are relevant due to their positive results in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease. The renal substudy of the EMPA-REG trial,¹ designed with a prespecified analysis to determine the effects of empagliflozin on microvascular complications in patients with T2DM at high cardiovascular risk or with established cardiovascular disease found a significant reduction of 39% in the primary outcome of incident or worsening nephropathy (hazard ratio [HR], 0.61; 95% confidence interval [95%CI], 0.53–0.70). There was also a doubling of the serum creatinine level in 1.5% of the empagliflozin group vs 2.6% in the placebo group, with a relative risk reduction of 44%, and a need for renal-replacement therapy of 0.3% vs 0.6% in the placebo group, with a relative risk reduction of 55%; however, there were no differences in albuminuria development. The composite outcome of death from cardiovascular causes or worsening of the creatinine level was significantly less frequent in the empagliflozin group: 0.61 (0.55–0.69; $P < .001$). The reduction in cardiovascular events found in the EMPA-REG trial was maintained in this renal failure population.

The second study, the LEADER trial,² evaluated the effect of liraglutide in patients with T2DM and cardiovascular disease or at high cardiovascular risk vs placebo. There were fewer cardiovascular events in the liraglutide group: 13.0% vs 14.9% (HR, 0.87; 95%CI, 0.78–0.97; $P = .007$). This decrease was mainly driven by a reduced mortality rate (8.2% vs 9.6% with placebo; HR, 0.85; 95%CI, 0.74–0.97; $P = .02$). The rates of the other components of the primary outcome were not significantly different vs the placebo group (myocardial infarction, stroke, hospitalization due to congestive heart failure).

Thus, LEADER is the first study of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP1) analogs to show a mortality reduction. The results of the

SUSTAIN-6 trial³ were presented 3 months later. This study was conducted in a very similar population to those of the previous studies of patients with T2DM. The results showed a reduction in the primary composite outcome of death, nonfatal stroke, or nonfatal myocardial infarction of 26%, caused by a 39% reduction in acute stroke and with no significant differences in myocardial infarction and death. There was a notable 35% reduction in the rate of coronary or peripheral revascularization. Vitreous hemorrhage, blindness, or conditions requiring treatment with an intravitreal agent or photocoagulation were significantly more frequent in patients receiving semaglutide: 3% in patients receiving the active compound vs 1.8% of the placebo group, representing a 76% increase ($P = .02$).

The SPRINT hypertension trial⁴ was prematurely interrupted due to a 30% decrease in the risk of cardiovascular events, including death from cardiovascular causes, as well as a 25% reduction in death from any cause, in the intensive treatment group who had a blood pressure target of less than 120/80 mmHg. These benefits were more evident in 3 subgroups of patients: those without renal failure or previous cardiovascular disease, those older than 75 years, and those with prehypertension.

The CLARIFY registry⁵ was subsequently published. Its results suggested caution with blood pressure target values in hypertensive patients with stable coronary heart disease. Although a reduction in systolic blood pressure to 120 to 139 mmHg or in diastolic blood pressure to 70 to 79 mmHg reduced both fatal and nonfatal events, greater reductions were accompanied by myocardial infarction and heart failure (the stroke risk was decreased, however). These findings thus indicate the presence of a J-curve phenomenon in the control of blood pressure levels in patients with stable ischemic heart disease.

The European guidelines, such as that for cardiovascular prevention,⁶ insist on preventive policies, lifestyle changes, and adherence and recommend a low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) target that varies according to patients' vascular risk: a) very high risk, <70 mg/dL or a reduction of 50% in the LDL-C if the baseline is between 70 and 135 mg/dL; b) high risk, LDL-C < 100 mg/dL or a reduction of 50% in the LDL-C if the baseline is between 100 and 200 mg/dL; and c) others, LDL-C < 115 mg/dL.

The therapeutic recommendations are as follows: first step, high-intensity statin therapy (IA); second step, combination with ezetimibe (IIB); and third step, PCSK9 inhibitors (IIB).

Studies of cardiac rehabilitation still show disagreements in the relative merits of interval and continuous training. The push for telerehabilitation and electronic health care continues, both in phase 2 and phase 3. Alarming results are being published on the long-term maintenance of the benefits of rehabilitation. Finally, more and more evidence supports the value of rehabilitation in patients with heart failure.

In conclusion, the current policy in the fight against smoking continues: modified packets, reduced cigarette size, and less attractive packaging. The use of varenicline, even during hospitalization for an acute coronary syndrome, has been shown to be safe, with no associated cardiovascular risk, and to effectively promote smoking cessation.

Almudena Castro Conde,^{a,*} Raquel Campuzano Ruiz,^b
Miren Morillas Bueno,^c Vicente Arrarte Esteban,^d
Alejandro Berenguel Senén,^e and Manuel Abeytua^f

^aServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain

^bServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

^cServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Osakidetza, Barakaldo, Vizcaya, Spain

^dServicio de Cardiología, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

^eServicio de Cardiología, Complejo Hospitalario de Toledo, Toledo, Spain

^fServicio de Cardiología, Unidad de Rehabilitación Cardíaca, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author:

E-mail address: almudenacastroconde@yahoo.es (A. Castro Conde).

Available online 28 December 2016

REFERENCES

1. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, et al. EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators. Empagliflozin and progression of kidney disease in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:323–334.
2. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. for the LEADER Steering Committee on behalf of the LEADER Trial Investigators. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:311–322.
3. Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1607141>
4. Wright Jr JT, Williamson JD, Whelton PK, Snyder JK, Sink KM. SPRINT Research Group. A randomized trial of intensive versus standard blood-pressure control. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:2103–2116
5. Vidal-Petiot E, Ford I, Greenlaw N, et al. Cardiovascular event rates and mortality according to achieved systolic and diastolic blood pressure in patients with stable coronary artery disease: an international cohort study. *Lancet*. 2016. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)31326-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31326-5)
6. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J*. 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw106>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2016.10.019>
1885-5857/

© 2016 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.