# Light/Dark Cycle Variations in Proinflammatory Cytokines in Acute Coronary Syndromes

## To the Editor:

We have read with great interest the recent review by Angiolillo et al<sup>1</sup> that provided an excellent overview of inflammation in acute coronary syndromes, but we were surprised that the authors did not mention light-dark cycles of proinflammatory cytokines.

The implication or association of physiological rhythms with peak activity at a certain time of day or night might be suspected, given that the onset of cardiovascular accidents follows a circadian pattern.<sup>2</sup> Several studies suggest that increased cardiovascular mortality in winter might be related to alterations in the biological clock controlled by the suprachiasmatic nucleus. This is regulated by day-night alternations, that is, by light-dark cycles.<sup>3,4</sup> Other functions such as cortisol secretion,<sup>5</sup> blood pressure variations,<sup>6</sup> and vasomotor tone<sup>9</sup> also depend on these rhythms.

Our group has shown that interleukin 6 follows a light-dark cycle in patients with acute myocardial infarction.<sup>8</sup> These variations can be attributed to the centrally controlled release of this compound by the neuroendocrine system. Such control would be exercised through synthesis and release of melatonin by the pineal gland, which, in turn, is regulated by light-dark variations.<sup>9</sup>

Although the study of the light-dark variations in proinflammatory cytokines in itself lacks clinical relevance, these findings point the way to new lines of investigation in the field of biological rhythms in humans. More studies will be needed to help clarify the mechanisms that underlie the cyclic nature of the presentation of some acute coronary syndromes. Such knowledge will undoubtedly lead to therapeutic interventions that provide better protection at times of greatest risk.

Alberto Domínguez Rodríguez, a Martín J. García González, a and Pedro Abreu González<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Unidad Coronaria, Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain. <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Fisiología, Universidad de La Laguna, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain.

# REFERENCES

- Angiolillo DJ, Biasucci LM, Liuzzo G, Crea F. La inflamación en los síndromes coronarios agudos: mecanismos e implicaciones clínicas. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57:433-46.
- Hernandes Fernandes E, Coelho D, Missel Correa JR, Kumpinski D. Alteraciones circadianas del sistema cardiovascular. Rev Esp Cardiol 2000;53:117-22.
- Sheth T, Nair C, Muller J, Yusuf S. Increased winter mortality from acute myocardial infarction and stroke: the effect of age. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:1916-9.

- 4. Zipes DP. Warning: the shorts days of winter may be hazardous to your health. Circulation 1999;100:1590-2.
- Vernikos-Danellis J, Winget CM. The importance of light, postural and social cues in the regulation of the plasma cortisol rhythms in man. In: Reinberg A, Halberg F, editors. Chronofarmacology. New York: Pergamon, 1979; p. 101-6.
- Millar-Craig MW, Bishop CN, Raftery EB. Circadian variation of blood pressure. Lancet 1978;1:795-7.
- Panza JA, Epstein SE, Quyyumi AA. Circadian variation in vascular tone and its relation to α-sympathetic vasoconstrictor activity. N Engl J Med 1991;325:986-90.
- Domínguez Rodríguez A, Abreu González P, García MJ, de la Rosa A, Vargas M, Marrero F. Ritmo luz/oscuridad de las citocinas proinflamatorias en el infarto agudo de miocardio. Rev Esp Cardiol 2003:56:555-60.
- Domínguez-Rodríguez A, Abreu-Gonzalez P, García M, Ferrer J, de la Rosa A, Vargas M, et al. Light/dark patterns of interleukin-6 in relation to the pineal hormone melatonin in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Cytokine 2004;26:89-93.

# Response

#### To the Editor:

We appreciate the interest of Domínguez-Rodríguez et al in our manuscript, who express surprise that we have not considered light/dark patterns of pro-inflammatory cytokines in our review on the role of inflammation in acute coronary syndromes.1 Circadian variations in cytokine secretion and activity is indeed a relevant topic. Particular interest has been devoted to this field since varying of inflammatory/immune functions during the 24-hour period may hypothetically allow identification moments of the day or of the night in which "inflammatory bursts" are most likely to occur and, accordingly, increase the incidence of cardiovascular events. Domínguez-Rodríguez et al should be commended for their pivotal work on describing light/dark secretion of interleukin-6 in patients with acute myocardial infarction.2 Importantly, a circadian variation of proinflammatory cytokines has been suggested to be under neuroendocrine control, in particular by melatonin, attributing to this system anti-inflammatory properties.3

In our manuscript we reviewed pathophsyiological mechanisms involved in the development of acute coronary syndromes with emphasis on the inflammatory hypothesis. The inflammatory substrate involved in acute coronary syndromes is extremely complex with a large number of factors involved in both its enhancement and modulation, and its complete description goes beyond the possibilities of a review manuscript. Therefore, in our manuscript we focused on inflammatory mechanisms involved in acute coronary syndromes with a greater degree of scientific evidence, also based on our previous experience and line of research. Research on light/dark variations of pro-inflammatory cytokines is still in its preliminary phase. Furthermore, as Domínguez-Rodríguez et al also state in their letter, light/dark variations of proinflammatory cytokines still lack of clinical relevance. Indeed these studies are stimulating for future research and the development of novel therapeutic approaches based on

advances in this field are intriguing, but we strongly believe that the inflammatory process involved in the atherosclerotic process in general and in acute coronary syndromes in particular should be "tackled" in a different perspective. These should ideally target the triggers of inflammation. However, these triggers are still elusive and therefore modulation of the detrimental component of inflammatory responses which occur afterwards represent the next approach. In particular, the latter should have as a target cells, receptors or molecules which have a more direct relationship with the inflammatory process specifically involved. These may include drugs with specific anti-inflammatory properties, but most typically involve drugs which are not-specifically antiinflammatory but have pleiotropic properties (including "anti-inflammatory") with functions that go beyond that of their primary reason for use. It is also important to remember that the contributing role of the inflammatory component on outcomes in acute coronary syndromes varies among individuals. Therefore, further research should be directed on the identification of patients which may truly benefit from these novel treatment strategies.

Dominick J. Angiolillo and Filippo Crea

Institute of Cardiology-Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy,

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Angiolillo DJ, Biasucci LM, Liuzzo G, Crea F. La inflamación en los síndromes coronarios agudos: mecanismos e implicaciones clínicas. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57:433-46.
- 2. Domínguez Rodríguez A, Abreu González P, García MJ, de la Rosa A, Vargas M, Marrero F. Ritmo luz/oscuridad de las citocinas proinflamatorias en el infarto agudo de miocardio. Rev Esp Cardiol 2003;56:555-60.
- 3. Domínguez-Rodríguez A, Abreu-González P, García M, Ferrer J, de la Rosa A, Vargas M, et al. Light/dark patterns of interleukin-6 in relation to the pineal hormone melatonin in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Cytokine 2004;26:89-93.

# Prevention of Vascular Complications **During Coronary Interventions:** Choose a Different Access Route or Seal the Vessel?

# To the Editor:

We read the article by Díaz de la Llera et al<sup>1</sup> with interest and would like to offer some comments. Reducing the incidence of complications during primary angioplasty, now that adjuvant therapy is widespread, is important.<sup>2</sup> Several studies<sup>3-5</sup> have reported that radial arterial access (RAA) offers interesting advantages compared to the transfemoral technique<sup>3,4</sup> and the authors<sup>1</sup> contribute further evidence in this regard. The success and the safety of RAA in trained hands is beyond question, and the clearest advantage compared to the femoral approach appears to be related to the smaller number of vascular complications.<sup>3-5</sup>

Patients treated with fibrinolytics and glycoprotein

IIb/IIIa inhibitors have a greater risk of hemorrhagic complications, especially at the puncture site. In this context, an alternative suggestion is the use of vascular closing devices (VCD) to reduce the number of complications. Resnic et al<sup>6</sup> compared manual compression (MC) versus VCD in 3027 patients treated with angioplasty and found a 45% reduction in vascular complications with VCD. In the subgroup of patients who received glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, complications with VCD were reduced to 57%, (5.51% with MC vs 2.34% with VCD; P=.02). Louvard et al<sup>7</sup> also found a reduction in major hemorrhages at the puncture site from 7% to 2% with VCD. Applegate et al<sup>8</sup> compared MC with the use of two different types of VCD in a series of 4525 patients who had undergone angioplasty and treatment with abciximab. In the patients in whom the use of such devices was successful, the rate of minor, major, and combined complications was 1.8% versus 0.8%, 1.35% versus 0.9% and 2.5% versus 1.5%, respectively. In the RACE9 study, no femoral complications occurred in patients who underwent angioplasty and treatment with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors using a new VCD versus 3.4% in the control group (P=.03). Exaire et al<sup>10</sup> found a low incidence of major hemorrhage and the need for transfusion (<1%) in patients from the TARGET study where either MC or various VCD were used. We emphasize that none of these studies was conducted exclusively in patients with primary angioplasty, although we consider that the main interest lies in facilitated and rescue angioplasty.

The learning curve for VCD is probably better than the one required for RAA, which means that its application can become widespread more easily. A trial comparing VCD with RAA would reveal the best strategy for patients with a high risk of presenting complications. Naturally, a cost-benefit analysis of the most suitable VCD and the impact of possible complications<sup>11</sup> is essential.

Finally, dogmas in medicine are dangerous and, in a field where concepts and technology are in continuous development, as in intervention cardiology, we should be very receptive and have on hand-almost literally in the case of RAA—new and better approaches and treatments to provide our patients with the best possible care.

> Carlos F. Barrera-Ramírez, Luis R. Pineda-Pompa, and Carlos E. Guzmán

> > Unidad de Cardiología Intervencionista, Centro Hospitalario La Concepción, México.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Díaz de la Llera LS, Fournier-Andray JA, Gómez-Moreno S, Arana-Rueda E, Fernández Ouero M, Pérez Fernández-Cortacero JA, et al. Vía transradial en el tratamiento percutáneo del infarto agudo del miocardio con stents coronarios. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004:57:732-6.
- 2. Goicolea-Ruigómez FJ, Ocaranza-Sánchez R. Abordaje transradial para la angioplastia primaria. ¿Necesidad o funambulismo? Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57:720-1.
- 3. Salgado Fernández J, Calviño Santos R, Vázquez Rodríguez JM, Vázquez González N, Vázquez Rey E, Pérez Fernández R, et al. Coronariografía y angioplastia coronaria por vía radial: experien-

- cia inicial y curva de aprendizaje. Rev Esp Cardiol 2003;56:152-9.
- Sanmartín M, Cuevas D, Goicolea J, Ruiz-Salmerón R, Gómez M, Argibay V. Complicaciones vasculares asociadas al acceso transradial para el cateterismo cardíaco. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57:581-4.
- Goicolea J, Meneses D, Ruiz-Salmerón R, Mantilla R, Claro R, Bravo M, et al. Angiografía coronaria con catéteres de 4 F por la vía radial: el «cateterismo mínimamente invasivo». Rev Esp Cardiol 2003;56:145-51.
- Resnic FS, Blake GJ, Ohno-Machado L, Selwyn AP, Popma JJ, Rogers C. Vascular closure devices and the risk of vascular complications after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients receiving glycoprotein IIb-IIIa inhibitors. Am J Cardiol 2001;88:493-6.
- Louvard Y, Ludwig J, Lefevre T, Schmeisser A, Bruck M, Scheinert D, et al. Transradial approach for coronary angioplasty in the setting of acute myocardial infarction: a dual-center registry. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2002;55:206-11.
- 8. Applegate RJ, Grabarczyk MA, Little WC, Craven T, Walkup M, Kahl FR, et al. Vascular closure devices in patients treated with anticoagulation and IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors during percutaneous revascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:78-83.
- Sanborn TA, Ogilby JD, Ritter JM, Stone GW, Klugherz BD, Fields RH, et al. Reduced vascular complications after percutaneous coronary interventions with a nonmechanical suture device: results from the randomized RACE study. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2004;61:327-32.
- Exaire JE, Dauerman HL, Topol EJ, Blankenship JC, Wolski K, Raymond RE, et al. Triple antiplatelet therapy does not increase femoral access bleeding with vascular closure devices. Am Heart J 2004:147:31-4.
- 11. Alonso M, Tascón J, Hernández F, Andreu J, Albarrán A, Velázquez MT. Complicaciones del acceso femoral en el cateterismo cardíaco: impacto de la angiografía femoral sistemática previa y la hemostasia con tapón de colágeno VasoSeal-ES®. Rev Esp Cardiol 2003;56:569-77.

# Response

# To the Editor:

I would like to thank Barrera-Ramírez et al, for their interest in my article published in this Journal. I appreciate their interesting observations, although I differ from the partial view regarding the use of radial arterial access (RAA) in patients with acute myocardial infarction.

The number of local complications is extremely low (hematoma, need for transfusion and vascular repair surgery) when RAA is used in practically all the centers where percutaneous coronary intervention is carried out (PCI).<sup>1-4</sup> Its convenience, the possibility of the patient immediately and safely walking out with no risk, and the cost-benefit ratio when using RAA compared to femoral arterial access (FAA) plus vascular closing devices (VCD) favors the use of RAA.<sup>5,6</sup>

Patients treated with anticoagulants, a combination of antiplatelet drugs (aspirin and clopidogrel) plus glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors and fibrinolytics are likely to present a greater number of local complications in the femoral arterial puncture site than those who do not receive such drugs. In a comparative study between RAA and FAA where both groups received glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, Choussat et al<sup>7</sup> analyzed the immediate outcome and local complications in both groups. In patients assigned to FAA, percutaneous closing with sutures was carried out (37%) and mechanical

compression in the remaining patients. A significant reduction in local complications in the RAA group (0%) was found compared to the number of hemorrhagic complications in the FAA group (7.4%; P=.04).

I would like to fine-tune certain aspects relating to the articles mentioned by Barrera-Ramírez et al to avoid ambiguous interpretations. Louvard et al<sup>8</sup> conducted a comparative prospective study of RAA and FAA in primary angioplasty with 1224 patients in two European hospitals. They reported a global rate of local complications in the RAA group of 0%, whereas the FAA group presented 2% major hemorrhagic complications in center A (using VCD) and 7% in center B (using manual compression). This difference was due to the low use of abciximab (5.8%) in center A and a more standardized use of it in center B (48.3%). When the patients in the RAA groups from both centers were added (n=267) to the FAA group with CVD (Perclose) (n=889), the hemorrhagic complications were significantly higher in the Perclose group compared to the RAA group (2% vs 0%; P<.05), despite the greater use of abciximab (30% vs 5.8%; P<.01) and r-tPA (23.2 vs 14.2%; P<.01) in the RAA group when compared to the FAA group (Perclose). Applegate et al9 conducted an observational non-randomized study in patients treated with coronary angioplasty and abciximab where they compared manual compression (MC) with VCD (Angioseal and Perclose). Peripheral retinopathy and old age are factors associated with an increased risk of local complications. Coincidently, this study showed that local complications were more frequent in the MC group than in the VCD group. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that the only independent predictive factor of complications was a failure in the application of VCD and that patients in whom the VCD failed were excluded from the figures for minor, major, and combined complications. Resnic et al<sup>10</sup> retrospectively studied patients who had undergone coronary angioplasty and compared MC with VCD. They also stated that the patients assigned to MC were significantly older (P<.001) than those in the VCD group, and that patients in whom VCD was applied successfully had to remain in bed with strict rest for 6 h. The overall number of local complications in the subgroup that did not receive glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors was not statistically significant, with a 29% reduction (P=.13; MC=3.62% and VCD=5.15%) in the risk of complications. The differences were significant in the subgroup who received glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, with a 57% reduction in risk (P=.002; MC=2.34% and VCD=5.51%). The authors themselves conclude that these results should be confirmed with prospective and randomized studies.

The results of 2 meta-analyses recently published are required reading to correctly assess the use of various VCD. Koreny et al<sup>11</sup> assessed 30 randomized studies that included 4000 patients and compared VCD with MC: they reported that the relative risk of hematoma was 1.14 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.86-1.51; *P*=.35); bleeding 1.48 (95% CI, 0.88-2.48; *P*=.14), developing an arteriovenous fistula 0.83 (95% CI, 0.23-2.94; *P*=.77) and developing pseudoaneurysm 1.19 (95% CI, 0.75-1.88; *P*=.46). They concluded that there is no evidence that VCDs are effective and that they could even increase the risk of hematoma and pseudoaneurysm. Nikolsky et al<sup>12</sup> also assessed 30 studies that included 37066 patients and differentiated between diagnostic and PCI settings and several VCD (Angio-seal, Perclose and

Vasoseal). No differences were found regarding local complications between Angioseal and MC in a diagnostic setting (odds ratio [OR]=1.08; 95% CI, 0.11-10.0) or PCI (OR=0.86; CI 95%, 0.65-1.12). In the Perclose group no differences were found between the diagnostic setting (OR=1.51; 95% CI, 0.24-9.47) and the PCI setting (OR=1.21, 95% CI, 0.94-1.54), but a greater risk of local complications was found when Vasoseal was used versus MC in PCI (OR=2.25; 95% CI, 1.07-4.71). The conclusion was that in diagnostic settings local complications were similar with VCD and MC, whereas with PCI a greater risk of local complications was found when Vasoseal was used compared to MC.

Our group uses arterial access which we consider safer and more effective for patients, any of which (radial, femoral, brachial, axillary) can be selected depending on the characteristics of the diagnostic or therapeutic study to be carried out. Therefore, it is not a question of establishing a predetermined choice, but one of selecting the most suitable arterial access taking into account the needs of the patients.

Luis S. Díaz de la Llera

Unidad de Hemodinámica y Cardiología Intervencionista, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain.

#### REFERENCES

- Díaz de la Llera LS, Fournier Andray JA, Gómez Moreno S, Arana Rueda E, Fernández Quero M, Pérez Fernández-Cortacero JA, et al. Vía transradial en el tratamiento percutáneo del infarto agudo de miocardio con *stents* coronarios. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004; 57:732-6
- Sanmartín M, Cuevas D, Goicolea J, Ruiz-Salmerón R, Gómez M, Argibay V. Complicaciones vasculares asociadas al acceso transradial para el cateterismo cardíaco. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57:581-4.
- Goicolea J, Meneses D, Ruiz-Salmerón R, Mantilla R, Claro R, Bravo M, et al. Angiografía coronaria con catéteres de 4F por la vía radial: el "cateterismo mínimamente invasivo". Rev Esp Cardiol 2003;56:145-51.
- Kiemeneij F, Laarman GJ, Odekerken D, Slagboom T, van der Wieken R. A randomized comparison of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty by the radial, brachial and femoral approaches: The Acces study. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:1269-75.
- Cooper CJ, El-Shiekh RA, Cohen DJ, Blaesing L, Burket MW, Basu A, et al. Effect of transradial acces on quality of life and cost of cardiac catheterization: A randomized comparison. Am Heart J 1999;138:430-6.
- Mann T, Cowper PA, Peterson ED, Cubeddu G, Bowen J, Giron L, et al. Transradial coronary stenting: Comparison with femoral access closed with an arterial suture device. Cathet Cardiovasc Intervent 2000:49:150-6.
- Choussat R, Black A, Bossi I, Fajadet J, Marco J. Vascular complications and clinical outcome after coronary angioplasty with platelet IIb/IIIa receptor blockade. Comparison of transradial vs transfemoral arterial access. Eur Heart J 2000;21:662-7.
- Louvard Y, Ludwig J, Lefevre T, Schmeisser A, Bruck M, Scheinert D, et al. Transradial approach for coronary angioplasty in the setting of acute myocardial infarction: a dual-center registry. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2002;55:206-11.
- Applegate RJ, Grabarczyk MA, Little WC, Craven T, Walkup M, Kahl FR, et al. Vascular closure devices in patients treated with anticoagulation and IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors during percutaneous revascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:78-83.
- 10. Resnic FS, Blake GJ, Ohno-Machado L, Selwyn AP, Popma JJ,

- Rogers C. Vascular closure devices and the risk of vascular complications after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients receiving glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors. Am J Cardiol 2001:88: 493-6
- Koreny M, Riedmüller E, Nikfardjam M, Siostrzonek P, Müllner M. Arterial puncture closing devices compared with standard manual compression after cardiac catheterization. Systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2004;291:350-7.
- Nikolsky E, Mehran R, Halkin A, Aymong ED, Mintz GS, Lasic Z, et al. Vascular complications associated with arteriotomy closure devices in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary procedures. A meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44:1200-9.

# Epicardial Implantation of Biventricular Leads

## To the Editor:

We would like to share in this letter our initial experience in implanting epicardial leads for left and biventricular pacing as well as congratulate the authors1 for the excellent results obtained. In our experience with our last four patients, it is possible to obtain a better view of the working area by making a higher incision, in the second or third intercostal space (in the left subclavicular region), to insert the video-assisted thoracoscopy device. In this way, instead of having the camera almost perpendicular (as shown in the photos from the cited article) and requiring different angles to see the different sectors, we can position the camera parallel to the long axis of the thorax leaving it effectively fixed there (with the help of a second operator who kept it fixed by simply placing the head of the device on the left shoulder of the patient) thereby considerably expanding the field of vision. Furthermore, as the incision is performed approximately in the same area where the generator will be implanted, the remaining scar is smaller, since once the endoscope is removed we only have to expand the incision and to make the pocket for the generator in the same place. The rest of our technique was effectively the same.

Theoretically, the epicardial approach allows us to choose at will the best possible place to implant the leads, although up to the present there is no clear evidence regarding how to choose the best implantation site (the basal posterolateral region is large). Thanks to the input of Julio Spinelli (an engineer at Guidant, United States) we have also modified our technique (for both endocardial and epicardial implants) as follows: we connect the patient to the programmer (we use a Medtronic programmer) in order to have at least one surface lead in it. Once the best possible anatomical site is chosen, we connect the lead for setting thresholds and take note of whether the sensor of our left lead coincides with the endhalf of the native QRS complex of the patient. In this way we at least confirm we are in an area of electrical delay. Otherwise, we will not be "resynchronizing" but possibly creating further asynchronism. Although the ultrasound scan tries to find out how to optimally guide the bi-ventricular pacing,<sup>2</sup> the problem is that the settings are adjusted once the leads have been implanted in a given place. If the principle is to resynchronize areas with electromechanical delay

by electric stimulation, it seems logical not to stimulate an area where there is no electric delay, even if this is a good anatomical site.

Once again, we would like to congratulate you for your excellent work.

Félix Ayala Paredes<sup>a</sup> and David Greentree<sup>b</sup>

 aServicio de Cardiología, CHUS Fleurimont, Universidad de Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada.
bServicio de Cirugía Cardiovascular, CHUS Fleurimont, University of Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Fernández AL, García-Bengochea JB, Ledo R, Vega M, Amaro A, Álvarez J, et al. Implante de electrodos epicárdicos en ventrículo izquierdo para resincronización mediante cirugía mínimamente invasiva asistida por videotoracoscopia. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004; 57:313-9.
- Macías A, Gavira JJ, Alegría E, Azcárate PM, Barba J, García Bolao I. Efecto de la localización del electrodo ventricular izquierdo sobre los parámetros ecocardiográficos de asincronía en pacientes sometidos a terapia de resincronización cardíaca. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57:138-45.

# Response

# To the Editor:

We congratulate Drs Ayala and Greentree for their experience and we totally agree that in order to obtain optimal ventricular systolic resynchronization the areas to be stimulated should be those with electromechanical delay in the left ventricle. In our work the posterolateral region was chosen based on the echocardiographic study and the pre-intervention left catheterization. With tissue Doppler echocardiography it is possible to identify with high accuracy the segments with greater electromechanical activation delay. Regarding catheterization, we carried out temporal endocardial pacing in different segments of the left ventricle in order to determine which anatomical site provides the best improvement in dP/dt.

Ángel L. Fernández and José B. García-Bengochea

156

Servicio de Cirugía Cardíaca, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Santiago de Compostela, La Coruña, Spain.

#### **REFERENCES**

 Fernández AL, García-Bengochea JB, Ledo R, Vega M, Amaro A, Álvarez J, et al. Implante de electrodos epicárdicos en ventrículo izquierdo para resincronización mediante cirugía mínimamente invasiva asistida por videotoracoscopia. Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57:313-9.

**1254** Rev Esp Cardiol 2004;57(12):1250-4