Prognostic Value of Valsalva Maneuver-Induced Change in Doppler-Detected Ventricular Filling in Patients With Systolic Dysfunction

Alberto García-Lledó, a,b José L. Moya, c and Javier Balaguera,b

^aServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Spain.
^bDepartamento de Medicina, Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain.
^cServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain.

Introduction and objectives. In patients with systolic dysfunction, different ventricular filling patterns are associated with different prognoses. The load changes resulting from nitroprusside infusion or long-term therapy for heart failure induce alterations in filling pattern that have been shown to serve as outcome markers. Our aim was to investigate the prognostic value of the Doppler-detected change in pseudonormal or restrictive left ventricular filling pattern induced by the Valsalva maneuver in patients with systolic dysfunction.

Matherial and method. The study included 36 patients in sinus rhythm with a depressed ejection fraction and an E/A ratio greater than 1. Filling velocities were recorded before and after 3 Valsalva maneuvers.

Results. The E/A ratio remained greater than 1 in 12 patients (group A); in 24 patients (group B), it fell below 1, indicating an abnormal relaxation pattern (i.e., a reversible pattern). During a mean follow-up period of 18 months, 8 patients died: 6 in group A (50%) and 2 in group B (8.3%; P=.005). Moreover, 12 patients either died or suffered severe heart failure: 8 in group A (67%) and 4 in group B (17%; P=.003). A reversible filling pattern was associated with lower risks of death (hazard ratio [HR]= 0.06; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.01-0.48) and of hospitalization or death (HR=0.11; 95% CI, 0.03-0.43).

Conclusions. Change of a pseudonormal or restrictive left ventricular filling pattern into an abnormal relaxation pattern after the Valsalva maneuver in patients with systolic dysfunction predicts a lower risk of death or severe heart failure.

Key words: Heart failure. Echocardiography. Prognosis.

Valor pronóstico de los cambios inducidos por la maniobra de Valsalva en el llenado ventricular registrado con Doppler en pacientes con disfunción sistólica

Introducción y objetivos. Los patrones de llenado ventricular registrados con Doppler tienen valor pronóstico en la disfunción sistólica y también los cambios que sufren al modificar la carga mediante tratamiento crónico o nitroprusiato. Nuestro propósito fue investigar el valor pronóstico de los cambios inducidos por la maniobra de Valsalva sobre los patrones restrictivo y seudonormal del llenado ventricular, registrados con Doppler en pacientes con disfunción sistólica.

Material y método. Se estudió a 36 pacientes en ritmo sinusal, disfunción sistólica y cociente entre las ondas E y A > 1, en situación clínicamente estable. Las velocidades de llenado se registraron antes y después de tres maniobras de Valsalva parcialmente estandarizadas.

Resultados. El cociente E/A se mantuvo mayor de 1 en 12 pacientes (grupo irreversible) y se transformó en uno de relajación anormal (E/A menor de 1) en 24 (grupo reversible). Durante un seguimiento medio de 18 meses fallecieron 8 pacientes, 6 en el grupo irreversible (50%) y 2 en el grupo reversible (8,3%; p = 0,005). Doce murieron o presentaron insuficiencia cardíaca severa, 8 en el grupo irreversible (67%) y 4 en el grupo reversible (17%; p = 0,003). La reversibilidad se asoció a un menor riesgo tanto de defunción como de defunción y hospitalización por insuficiencia cardíaca (*hazard ratio* [HR] = 0,11; intervalo de confianza [IC] del 95%, 0,03-0,43, y HR = 0,06; IC del 95%, 0,01-0,48, respectivamente).

Conclusiones. La transformación de un patrón de llenado seudonormal o restrictivo en otro de relajación anormal tras la maniobra de Valsalva predice un mejor pronóstico en la disfunción sistólica.

Correspondence: Dr. A. García-Lledó.

Received February 16, 2005. Accepted for publication May 13, 2005. **Palabras clave:** Insuficiencia cardíaca. Ecocardiografía. Pronóstico.

Servicio de Cardiología. Hospital Universitario de Guadalajara. Donantes de Sangre, s/n. 19002 Guadalajara. España. E-mail: aglledo@telefonica.net

ABBREVIATIONS

HR: hazard ratio. CI: confidence interval

INTRODUCTION

Analysis of left ventricular diastolic function has conclusively proven to be useful as a tool to separate patients with heart failure due to systolic dysfunction into different prognostic groups.¹⁻⁸ Among the various diastolic parameters used for this purpose, analysis of the E wave to A wave (E/A) ratio and transmitral valve filling by Doppler study offers highly relevant information. As compared to patients with an abnormal relaxation pattern, patients with restrictive^{2.5} or pseudonormal⁹ filling patterns show poorer evolution. These two patterns are related with clinical events in patients with heart failure, and with inadequate remodeling and worse outcome in patients who have undergone coronary revascularization surgery.¹⁰

Transmitral filling velocities are determined by several dynamic phenomena that lead to the variability of this parameter.¹¹ Preload and afterload changes affect the filling pattern in different ways in the various patient groups.¹²⁻¹⁵ This fact, which is often considered a limitation for applying the technique, has high clinical value. Induction of certain changes in the filling pattern by means of controlled manipulation of ventricular loading provides added value to the Doppler prognostic study of heart failure.^{16,17} Pozzoli et al¹⁶ demonstrated that patients with systolic dysfunction and a restrictive filling pattern had a better prognosis when the filling pattern changed to abnormal relaxation after modifying loading with the use of nitroprusside infusion. These authors' results indicate that there is a progressive sequence of prognostic deterioration starting with the abnormal relaxation pattern and progressing with the pseudonormal and reversible restrictive patterns.18

The Valsalva maneuver is another means for modifying ventricular loading that has been proposed for the analysis of ventricular filling pressures.¹⁹⁻²¹ It can be easily performed as part of the echocardiography laboratory routine to reduce the afterload, and can be used to assess the stability of the filling patterns in the same way as nitroprusside infusion.¹⁶ Nevertheless, to our knowledge, there are no published data on the prognostic value of this method. The aim of this study was to analyze the prognostic value of the filling pattern changes induced by the Valsalva maneuver in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Group

The study was conducted in a second-level hospital with no cardiac transplantation program. Among 177 consecutive patients evaluated in our echocardiography laboratory and diagnosed with left ventricular systolic dysfunction between June 2001 and May 2002, 37 patients were selected for participation in the study. All participants fulfilled the following conditions: a) sinus rhythm; b) ejection fraction less than 50%; c) end-diastolic diameter greater than 3.5 cm/m^2 ; d) visible E and A waves, with the E/A ratio greater than 1; and e) E wave propagation velocity in the left ventricle less than 50 cm/s, as measured by color M-mode Doppler. This condition was required to confirm the existence of abnormal ventricular relaxation and to be able to distinguish between normal and pseudonormal filling patterns.

Ventricular dysfunction was due to an ischemic etiology in the majority of cases (26), 6 patients had dilated cardiomyopathy and 5 had other conditions (alcoholic or hypertensive heart disease). Thirty patients were men and the mean age was 65 years (range, 35-83); 18 were recruited during hospitalization and all patients had presented clinical signs and symptoms of heart failure at some time. The study was undertaken with the patients in stable clinical status under the best treatment possible; the hospitalized heart failure patients were included in the study only after they had completely stabilized. Informed consent for participation was obtained in all cases.

Echocardiographic Study

The echo-Doppler study was performed with a Vingmed CFM 800 system, with the patients in the left lateral decubitus position. The images were recorded on videotape for later analysis. Left ventricular and left atrial measurements were made from the parasternal long axis view using two-dimensional guided Mmode imaging. The ejection fraction was estimated from the apical view using the modified Simpson's biplane method. Filling velocities were recorded from the apical four-chamber view with pulsed-Doppler. A single 5-mm sample volume was placed between the tips of the mitral leaflets, oriented parallel to the left ventricular filling wave recorded with color Doppler. From the same view, the E wave propagation velocity in the left ventricle was measured by color M-mode according to the method proposed by García et al.²² The E wave deceleration time was calculated from the peak E wave velocity to the point where the descending slope intercepted the Doppler baseline.²³

A restrictive pattern was established when the E/A ratio was greater than 1 and the E wave deceleration time was less than or equal to 130 ms. A pseudonor-

mal pattern was defined as one in which the time was greater than this fixed limit. Both cases had to meet the condition of presenting an E wave propagation velocity less than 50 cm/s.

The baseline filling measurements were performed after the patient had rested for at least 10 min in a decubitus position while breathing normally. The patient was then asked to perform a Valsalva maneuver as deeply as possible for at least 6 s. The maneuver was repeated at least 3 times and was considered adequate when there was a reduction of at least 20% in the E or A wave velocities, or both. The position of the sample volume between the mitral leaflet tips was monitored during the maneuver, with readjustments performed when necessary. One investigator, unaware of the patients' clinical status, performed the measurements a posteriori, after meticulously calibrating the tracings. The maneuver resulting in the greatest filling pattern change with respect to baseline was used for the analysis. For the remaining parameters the mean of at least three measurements was used.

A reproducibility study was performed by 2 observers blindly assessing the first ten studies. After the first observer had finished, the patient rested for at least one-half hour and then the protocol was repeated with the second observer. The results for the mean changes were compared to analyze between-observer variability of the maneuver.

Follow-up

Patient follow-up was done by two cardiologists who were unaware of the changes induced by the Valsalva maneuver. The patients were contacted at least once every 4 months to record data on their functional class, the events that had occurred, and the treatment followed. The hospital records, primary care center data, and the death certificate in 1 case were also examined. Events were defined as sudden death or death due to a cardiac cause, and hospital admissions for heart failure. The data compiled on treatment and functional class immediately before the event were used for the analysis. When a patient died of heart failure in the hospital, the event was considered a death. One patient died due to a malignant neoplasm (cancer of the stomach) and was excluded from the analysis. Four patients died during an episode of heart failure and there was 1 sudden death out of the hospital. At the completion of follow-up, 29 patients were taking angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, 19 beta-blockers, and 7 angiotensin II receptor antagonists. The functional class shown reflects the patient's status at the time of the echocardiography study, with the best treatment possible. The majority were in classes I and II (23 and 5, respectively), and the remaining 8 were in classes III (5) and IV (3).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were done with SPSS[®], version 8.0. The follow-up endpoints were considered to be *death* and the combined variable *death* and *severe heart* failure with hospital admission, which are referred to hereinafter as *death* and *events*, respectively.

Continuous variables were compared between the various groups using the Mann-Whitney U test and analysis of variance. Nonparametric continuous variables were analyzed with the Wilcoxon test or Kruskal-Wallis test, where appropriate. Differences among categorical variables were studied with the χ^2 test. The stratified survival analysis (time to first event) was done with the Kaplan-Meier method. For the multivariate analysis, the Cox regression method was used. For each of the 2 variables (death and events) a maximum model of 5 independent echocardiographic variables was established (peak E velocity, peak A velocity, ejection fraction, E wave deceleration time and filling reversibility following the Valsalva maneuver). Variables with a statistical significance of less than .05 were selected for each model using a backward approach. All the studies were two-tailed when possible, and required a significance level of .05.

RESULTS

The mean follow-up time was 18 months (range, 1-27). Eight patients died during follow-up, and 12 died or were hospitalized for severe heart failure. Six patients showed a restrictive filling pattern and the remaining patterns were considered pseudonormal. Three patients died in the restrictive group and 5 in the pseudonormal group (50% vs 17%; P=.073). The difference was smaller for the comparison of events between the groups (50% vs 30%; P=.34).

There were no significant differences between the E and A wave variations in the first 10 cases, as assessed by two independent observers. According to the first observer, the mean E wave reduction was $65\%\pm18\%$ and the mean A wave change was $104\%\pm30\%$. The changes recorded by the second observer were $61\%\pm17\%$ and $108\%\pm29\%$, respectively. Moreover, classification of all the cases was identical by both operators into reversible pattern (when there was a change to a normal relaxation pattern) or irreversible pattern (when the E/A value persisted at more than 1). Overall, the E wave decreased by 0.33 ± 0.16 m/s and the A-wave increased by 0.07 ± 0.16 m/s.

The filling pattern was reversible following the Valsalva maneuver in 24 patients, whereas the E/A ratio remained higher than 1 in 12. Six patients died in the group with an irreversible restrictive or pseudonormal filling pattern (50%) and 2 in the reversible group (8.3%); the difference was significant (P=.005). An even greater difference was found when the total of



Figure 1. Classification of patients according to a restrictive or pseudonormal filling pattern and response to the Valsalva maneuver. The clinical evolution is shown for each group.



Figure 2. Survival curves for patients with a reversible or irreversible filling pattern following the Valsalva maneuver, according to the variable death.

CI indicates confidence interval

events was considered, with 8 events in the irreversible group and 4 events in the reversible pattern group (67% vs 17%; P=.003). The distribution of deaths and events according to the type of pattern and response to the Valsalva maneuver is shown in Figure 1. Death occurred in all patients with an irreversible restrictive pattern, none of those with a reversible restrictive pattern, 3% of those in the irreversible pseudonormal group and 9.5% in the reversible pseudonormal group. The differences in the distribution of these events among the four groups was not significant for deaths alone, but was significant for the total of events (P=.01).

Kaplan-Meier analysis showed a significant reduction in survival in those patients in whom the Valsalva maneuver did not cause a change from a restrictive or pseudonormal pattern to another type of abnormal relaxation pattern, as is seen in Figure 2. The patients' survival results according to their response to the Valsalva maneuver, as well as the hazard ratios (HRs) estimated by Cox analysis are shown in Table 1. Patients with a reversible pattern presented a reduction in the risk of cardiac death (HR=0.06, 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.01-0.48; P=.0003). In addition, the risk of the total of events was lower in this group (HR=0.11; 95% CI, 0.03-0.43; P=.001).

The survival analysis demonstrated that patients with a pseudonormal pattern had a lower risk of death than those with a restrictive pattern (HR=0.21; 95%)

TABLE 1. Mean Survival and Hazard Ratios for Cardiac Deaths and Total Events According to the Response to the Valsalva Maneuver: Reversible or Irreversible*

	Mean Survival (95% Cl), Months	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Р
Events			
Reversible	33 (29-37)	0.11 (0.03-0.43)	.0010
Irreversible	14 (9-20)		
Deaths			
Reversible	35 (33-38)	0.06 (0.01-0.48)	.0003
Irreversible	16 (10-22)		

*CI indicates confidence interval

CI, 0.05-0.97; P=.027). No significant differences in survival were found between the groups when all the events were considered in the analysis.

Patients with an irreversible filling pattern showed some differences with respect to those with a reversible pattern, as is seen in Table 2. These consisted mainly in greater ventricular dilation, higher E/A ratio values with greater E wave amplitude, shorter E wave deceleration time, smaller A wave amplitude, more highly depressed ejection fraction, and a trend to a higher grade of mitral regurgitation. Clinically, the patients with a reversible pattern showed a better functional class, with the majority in classes I and II.

The influence of the echocardiographic variables on the development events was studied by Cox analysis. Results are presented in Table 3. The E and A wave percent changes, E/A ratio, and heart rate before and during the Valsalva maneuver were also analyzed, but no significant relationship was found with the endpoints of the analysis. For each of the two variables (death and events) a model with a maximum of 5 independent echocardiographic variables was contemplated (peak E velocity, peak A velocity, ejection fraction, E wave deceleration time, and filling reversibility after application of the Valsalva maneuver). The first 3 were included on the basis of their statistical value in the univariate analysis for the E wave velocity, E/A wave

TABLE 2. Differences Between the Groups Showing Reversible or Irreversible Filling With Respect to Various Clinical and Echocardiographic Data, According to the Mann-Whitney U Test and Analysis of Variance, for Functional Class

	Reversible Pattern	Irreversible Pattern	Р
Age, years	61.5±14.4	64.6±11.4	NS
Atrial diameter, cm	4.9±0.7	5.0±0.9	NS
End-diastolic ventricu	lar		
diameter, cm	6.4±0.5	7.1±0.7	.012
End-systolic ventricula	ar		
diameter, cm	4.9±0.6	5.9±0.6	.002
Ejection fraction	37.1±8.1%	30.4±5.9	.041
E wave velocity, m/s	0.84±0.22	0.97±0.5	.019
EDT, ms	139±39	130±33	.03
A wave velocity, m/s	0.66±0.20	0.54±0.30	.02
E/A	1.3±0.3	2.2±0.9	.0001
EVp, cm/s	28.9±11.0	31.6±6.1	NS
Ischemic etiology	73%	67%	NS
Grade III or IV MR	27%	55%	NS
NYHA Class I	86%	33%	.005
NYHA Class II	9%	22%	
NYHA Class III	0%	33%	
NYHA Class IV	5%	11%	

*MR indicates grade of mitral regurgitation; NS, non-significant; NYHA, New York Heart Association; EDT, E wave deceleration time; EVp, E wave propagation velocity.

	Deaths		Event	
_	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Р	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Р
LA	2.15 (0.66-6.99)	NS	1.73 (0.65-4.56)	NS
DD	1.18 (0.27-5.11)	NS	1.16 (0.45-3.00)	NS
SD	1.18 (0.31-4.54)	NS	1.79 (0.75-4.30)	NS
E	1.06 (1.01-1.12)	.030	1.05 (1.01-1.09)	.009
EDT	1.02 (1.01-1.10)	.045	1.04 (0.99-1.10)	NS
А	1.05 (1.01-1.09)	.023	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	NS
MR	1.9 (0.9-4.2)	NS	1.6 (0.9-2.8)	NS
EVp	1.2 (0.95-1.5)	NS	1.11 (0.99-1.26)	NS
E/A	0.47 (0.04-5.90)	NS	2.4 (1.03-5.57)	.040
EF	0.94 (0.78-1.14)	NS	0.85 (0.74-0.98)	.020
Α%	0.95 (0.89-1.02)	NS	1.01 (0.98-1.03)	NS
E/Vp	1.05 (0.96-1.15)	NS	1.07 (0.96-1.19)	NS

*A indicates peak A wave velocity; LA, left atrial velocity; DD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; SD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; E, peak E wave velocity; E/A, E wave to A wave ratio; E/Vp, ratio of peak E wave velocity to flow propagation velocity; EF, ejection fraction; MR, grade of mitral regurgitation; NS, non-significant; EDT, E wave deceleration time; EVp, E wave propagation velocity; A, A wave percent change following the Valsalva maneuver.

TABLE 4. Cox Multivariate Analysis Results. Among the Values Included in the Model (Peak E Wave Velocity, Peak A Wave Velocity, Ejection Fraction, E Wave Deceleration Time and Filling Reversibility Following the Valsalva Maneuver), Those With an Independent Predictive Value Are Shown

Dependent Variables	Independent Variables	Hazard Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Death			
	A wave	1.04	1.01-1.07
	Reversible with		
	Valsalva	0.06	0.01-0.48
Events			
	A wave	1.03	1-1.06
	Reversible with		
	Valsalva	0.11	0.03-0.43

ratio and ejection fraction, whereas E wave deceleration time was included because of its proven prognostic value. Other possible variables, such as clinical or demographic factors, were not included because of the limited size of the sample. The analysis showed that A wave velocity and the results of the Valsalva maneuver were the only independent predictors of death due to a cardiac cause and of total events, as is shown in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that the type of response produced with the use of the Valsalva maneuver in patients with a pseudonormal or restrictive filling pattern can serve to separate patients with systolic dysfunction into different prognostic groups. This finding, which, to our knowledge, is demonstrated for the first time, supports the use of this method echocardiographic assessment of heart failure.

The prognostic value of similar changes in response to various manipulations to modify loading, such as long-term treatment for heart failure¹⁷ and nitroprusside infusion,¹⁶ have been reported previously. The results obtained with the 3 methods (Valsalva maneuver, chronic heart failure treatment, and nitroprusside treatment) confirm the hypothesis of Pozzoli et al,¹⁶ suggesting that the type of transmitral filling pattern response provides an estimation of cardiovascular reserve in patients with elevated left ventricular stiffness.

There are substantial differences between the populations included in our study and those of Pozzoli et al¹⁶ and Temporelli et al.¹⁷ Whereas these authors studied only patients with restrictive patterns, our patients had both restrictive and pseudonormal patterns. Patients with systolic dysfunction show a spectrum of filling patterns ranging from abnormal relaxation to restrictive, corresponding to increasing ventricular stiffness and left atrial pressure. Along this continuum, the cut-off between pseudonormal and restrictive is somewhat arbitrary. In our series the filling pattern changes provided a more precise reflection of the patients' evolution than the mere differentiation between pseudonormal and restrictive. In addition, we considered the analyses of evolution in the group with a pseudonormal pattern to be interesting since little attention has been paid to this population. This group is at a lower risk than those with restrictive filling, but at a higher risk than patients with abnormal relaxation.⁹ The effect of loading modifications has not been studied in these patients; hence, once again, the present study is the first to assess this factor, to the best of our knowledge. The fact that a load reduction in this group also resulted in filling changes with prognostic value is in keeping with the classification proposed by Xie and Smith.¹⁸ These authors suggested that cases with restrictive or pseudonormal patterns found to be reversible can be considered a group with an "intermediate prognosis" between the abnormal relaxation and irreversible restrictive pattern groups.

The various methods used to modify loading show considerable differences. Whereas the Valsalva maneuver mainly reduces preloading, nitroprusside reduces both preloading and afterloading. We did not compare these methods in the present study and cannot judge whether they are equivalent. The subgroup with an irreversible pattern included patients with many other factors associated with a poor prognosis, such as greater ventricular dilation, more elevated E/A ratios, and particularly, a poorer functional class. The findings are expected and we do not believe they detract from the results. The Valsalva maneuver would maintain its value both for predicting the response to treatments that reduce the load as well as to confirm or substitute the prognosis provided by other data with recognized prognostic value, such as functional class.

The E wave propagation velocity was used to confirm the existence of abnormal ventricular relaxation and verify as pseudonormal the cases with this diagnosis, as was done by Moller et al²⁴ in a previous study. The relaxation disturbance should appear in all the patients, since all have systolic dysfunction, and this was confirmed by the detection of a depressed E wave propagation velocity in all the patients. Nevertheless, the E wave propagation velocity did not demonstrate prognostic value. This was not surprising since the diastolic function parameters most closely related to the prognosis are those reflecting deterioration of ventricular stiffness and increased end-diastolic pressure, and E wave velocity propagation is relatively independent of these factors. It was more surprising to find that the ratio between E wave velocity and E wave propagation velocity as measured by color M-mode Doppler showed no relationship with survival. This ratio is known to correlate with pulmonary capillary pressure²² and is associated with the prognosis of patients experiencing a first infarction.²⁵ Patients in the study cited were included in an unstable phase during the first 24 hours after infarction and therefore, were a different population than those in our series, analyzed after they had been treated in the best way possible and in a stable condition. Nonetheless, we cannot rule out that the absence of a relationship was due to the small size of our sample.

Other studies on the prognosis of patients with systolic dysfunction have reported other echocardiographic predictors of events, such as E wave deceleration time⁴ and atrial size,²⁶ which did not appear as independent factors in our study. These differences can be explained by several facts. First, only patients with an E/A greater than 1 were selected for participation in our study. We excluded patients with an abnormal relaxation filling pattern, which are the majority and have a better prognosis; hence the sample is different than the population with systolic dysfunction. In addition, this strict selection of the sample reduced the number of candidates for inclusion, such that there were relatively few events and the relationship with the variables studied might be less consistent. The size of the sample is one of the main limitations of the study, and made it impossible to analyze the clinical and demographic variables together with the echocardiographic variables.

The Valsalva maneuver could have been standardized by recording the pressure exerted. This was not done because our objective was to assess the maneuver as it is usually performed. To reduce the variability of the response, we believe that a minimum apnea time should be required, the maneuver should be repeated until the patient has learned to do it properly and there should be evident changes in the filling waves. The efficacy of these measures is reflected in the prognostic value of the results obtained and the fact that the changes observed were similar to those described for patients with elevated filling pressures.^{20,21}

In conclusion, the results of this study suggest that persistence of a restrictive or pseudonormal pattern after the Valsalva maneuver performed with minimal conditions of standardization indicates a poorer prognosis than reversibility of the pattern. These findings confirm the value of this fast, simple tool to assess prognosis in patients with systolic dysfunction during the standard echocardiographic examination.

REFERENCES

- Lapu-Bula R, Robert A, de Kock M, d'Hondt AM, Detry JM, Melin JA, et al. Risk stratification in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy: contribution of Doppler-derived left ventricular filling. Am J Cardiol. 1998;82:779-85.
- Pinamonti B, di Lenarda A, Sinagra G, Camerini F. Restrictive left ventricular filling pattern in dilated cardiomyopathy assessed by Doppler echocardiography: clinical, echocardiographic and hemodynamic correlations and prognostic implications. Heart muscle disease group. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1993;22:808-15.
- Xie GY, Berk MR, Smith MD, Gurley JC, de Maria AN. Prognostic value of Doppler transmitral flow patterns in patients with congestive heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1994;24:132-9.
- 4. Giannuzzi P, Temporelli PL, Bosimini E, Silva P, Imparato A, Corra U, et al. Independent and incremental prognostic value of Doppler-derived mitral deceleration time of early filling in both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients with left ventricular dysfunction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996;28: 383-90.
- Nijland F, Kamp O, Karreman AJP, van Eenige MJ, Visser CA. Prognostic implications of restrictive left ventricular filling in acute myocardial infarction: a serial Doppler echocardiographic study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1997;30:1618-24.
- Vanoverschelde JL, Raphael DA, Robert AR, Cosyns JR. Left ventricular filling in dilated cardiomyopathy: relation to functional class and hemodynamics. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1990; 15:1288-95.
- di Salvo TG, Mathier M, Semigran MJ, Dec GW. Preserved right ventricular ejection fraction predicts exercise capacity and survival in advanced heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1995; 25:1143-53.

- Alameda M, Moya JL, García Lledo JA, Alonso Recarte M, Guzman G, Vaticón C, et al. Valoración pronóstica de los pacientes con disfunción sistólica: estudio funcional y ecocardiográfico. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2002;55:372-82.
- Whalley GA, Doughty RN, Gamble GD, Wright SP, Walsh HJ, Muncaster SA, et al. Pseudonormal mitral filling pattern predicts hospital re-admission in patients with congestive heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39:1787-95.
- Liu J, Tanaka N, Murata K, Ueda K, Wada Y, Oyama R, et al. Prognostic value of pseudonormal and restrictive filling patterns on left ventricular remodelling and cardiac events alter coronary artery bypass grafting. Am J Cardiol. 2003;91:550-4.
- Pozzoli M, Capomolla S, Cobelli F, Tavazzi L. Reproducibility of Doppler indices of left ventricular systolic and diastolic function in patients with severe chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 1995;16:194-200.
- Lavine SJ, Campbell CA, Held AC, Johnson V. Effect of nitroglycerin-induced reduction of left ventricular filling pressure on diastolic filling in acute dilated heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1989;14:233-41.
- Suzuki T, Sato K, Aoki K. Influence of postural change on transmitral flow velocity profile assessed by pulsed Doppler echocardiography in normal individuals and in patients with myocardial infarction. Am Heart J. 1990;120:110-5.
- Hayashi K, Dote K, Sunaga Y, Sugiura T, Iwasaka T, Inada M. Evaluation of preload reserve during isometric exercise testing in patients with old myocardial infarction: Doppler echocardiographic study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1991;17:106-11.
- 15. Pozzoli M, Capomolla S, Opasich C, Reggiani R, Calsamiglia G, Cobelli F, et al. Left ventricular filling pattern and pulmonary wedge pressure are closely related in patients with recent anterior myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction. Eur Heart J. 1992:13:1067-73.
- Pozzoli M, Traversi E, Cioffi G, Stenner R, Sanarico M, Tavazzi L. Loading manipulations improve the prognostic value of Doppler evaluation of mitral flow in patients with chronic heart failure. Circulation. 1997;95:1222-30.
- Temporelli PL, Corrá U, Bosimini E, Imparato A, Scapallato F, Gianuzzi P. Reversibility of restrictive physiology by transmitral Doppler identifies CHF patients with more favourable prognosis [abstract]. Circulation. 1996;94 Suppl I:434.
- Xie GY, Smith MD. Pseudonormal or intermediate pattern? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39:1796-8.
- Hurrell DG, Nishimura RA, Ilstrup DM, Appleton CP. Utility of preload alteration in assessment of left ventricular filling pressure by Doppler echocardiography: a simultaneous catheterization and Doppler echocardiographic study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1997;30: 459-67.
- 20. Schwammenthal E, Popescu BA, Popescu AC, Di Segni E, Kaplinsky E, Rabonowitz B, et al. Noninvasive assessment of left ventricular end-diastolic pressure by the response of the transmitral a-wave velocity to a standardized Valsalva maneuver. Am J Cardiol. 2000;86:169-74.
- Brunner-La Rocca HP, Rickli H, Attenhofer Jost H, Jenni R. Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure can be estimated by either changes in transmitral inflow pattern during Valsalva maneuver or analysis of pulmonary venous flow. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2000;13:599-607.
- 22. García M, Ares M, Asher C, Rodríguez L, Vandervoort P, Thomas JD. An index of early left ventricular filling that combined with pulsed Doppler peak E velocity may estimate capillary wedge pressure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1997;29:448-54.
- Nishimura RA, Housmans PR, Hatle LK, Tajik AJ. Assessment of diastolic function of the heart: background and current application of Doppler echocardiography. Part I. Physiologic and pathophysiologic features. Mayo Clin Proc. 1984; 64:71-81.
- 24. Moller JE, Sondergaard E, Poulsen SH, Appleton CP, Egstrup K. Pseudonormal and restrictive filling patterns predict left

ventricular dilation and cardiac death after a first myocardial infarction: a serial colour M-mode Doppler echocardiographic study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;36:1841-6.

25. Moller JE, Sondegaard E, Seward JB Appleton CP, Egstrup K. Ratio of left ventricular peak E wave velocity to flow propagation velocity assessed by color M-mode Doppler echocardiography in first myocardial infarction: prognosic and clinical implications. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;35:363-70.

 Modena MG, Muia N, Sgura FA, Molinari R, Castella A, Rossi R. Left atrial size is the major predictor of cardiac death and overall clinical outcome in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy: a long-term follow-up study. Clin Cardiol. 1997;20:553-60.