Prognostic Value of Brain Natriuretic Peptide in Acute Heart Failure: Mortality and Hospital Readmission

Julio Núñez,^a Eduardo Núñez,^a Rocío Robles,^a Vicent Bodí,^a Juan Sanchis,^a Arturo Carratalá,^b Manuel Aparici,^b and Angel Llàcer^b

^aServicio de Cardiología, Hospital Clínic i Universitari, Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain ^bServicio de Análisis Clínicos, Bioquímica Clínica y Patología Molecular, Hospital Clínic i Universitari, Valencia, Spain

The prognostic value of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) measurement in patients with acute heart failure is not well understood. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between the BNP level and mortality and readmission for acute heart failure. We studied 569 consecutive patients who were admitted with a diagnosis of acute heart failure. The BNP level was measured after the patient became clinically stable. The relationship between the BNP level and mortality was assessed by Cox regression analysis, and the relationship with readmission, by competing risks regression analysis. During a median follow-up period of 9 (range, 3-18) months, 156 deaths (27.4%) and 140 readmissions (24.6%) occurred. Multivariate analysis demonstrated a positive linear association between the risk of death and the BNP quintile. In contrast, the BNP level did not predict readmission for acute heart failure, mainly because of the effect of death as a competing outcome.

Key words: Acute heart failure. Brain natriuretic peptide. *Prognosis.*

Implicaciones pronósticas del péptido natriurético cerebral en la insuficiencia cardiaca aguda: mortalidad y reingresos hospitalarios

El valor pronóstico del péptido natriurético cerebral (BNP) tras un episodio de insuficiencia cardiaca aguda (ICA) no ha sido totalmente establecido. El objetivo de este trabajo fue evaluar la relación entre las concentraciones de BNP y la mortalidad y los reingresos por ICA. Analizamos a 569 pacientes ingresados consecutivamente con el diagnóstico de ICA. Se determinó el BNP tras la estabilización inicial del paciente. La relación entre el BNP y la mortalidad fue evaluada mediante regresión de Cox y la relación con el reingreso, mediante regresión con ajuste para episodios competitivos. Durante una mediana de seguimiento de 9 (3-18) meses, se registraron 156 muertes (27,4%) y 140 reingresos (24,6%). En el análisis multivariable se observó una asociación positiva y lineal entre el riesgo de mortalidad y los guintiles de BNP. Para reingreso por ICA, el BNP no mostró tener valor predictivo debido al efecto de la mortalidad como episodio competitivo.

Palabras clave: Insuficiencia cardiaca aguda. Péptido natriurético cerebral. Pronóstico.

INTRODUCTION

Numerous studies support the use of brain natriuretic peptide, also known as B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), as a risk marker in various cardiovascular conditions; however, the predictive value attributable to BNP in an

Correspondence: Dr J. Núñez Villota. Servicio de Cardiología. Hospital Clínic i Universitari. Avda. Blasco Ibáñez, 17. 46010 Valencia. España. E-mail: yulnunez@gmail.com

Received August 17, 2007. Accepted for publication February 1, 2008. unselected population admitted for acute heart failure (AHF) remains uncertain, and its influence on intermediate episodes, such as new hospital readmissions assessed independently (not as part of a combined event), has still not been described. The aim of our study was to assess the relationship between BNP, measured during the AHF episode, and mortality and hospital readmission for AHF, adjusting for mortality as a competing event.

METHODS

Study Group and Protocol

We prospectively included 569 patients admitted to the cardiology department of our hospital with a diagnosis

This study received a grant from Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostic SL.

of AHF between June 1, 2004 and December 31, 2006. The diagnosis was established by cardiologists in accordance with the current recommendations.¹ Patients with acute coronary syndrome, active neoplastic or infectious disease, or end-stage renal disease on dialysis were excluded from the study, as were patients who were initially admitted with AHF but whose main reason for admission was considered to be other than AHF (n=32). The patients were individually managed, following current recommendations.¹ During admission, a single observer performed the transthoracic echocardiography. BNP (as measured by the ADVIA Centaur immunoassay system) was determined within a median of 48 hours (range, 42-54 h) after hospital admission and always after the first 24 hours.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean (SD) or median (interquartile range) as appropriate, and discrete variables as percentages. The baseline characteristics of the population were stratified by BNP distribution quintile. Analysis of variance was used to compare continuous variables with normal distribution; otherwise, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. For discrete variables, the χ^2 test was used. The linear trend of proportions was also analyzed using the linear trend test. The percentage of deaths in each BNP category was estimated by Kaplan-Meier curves, and the differences were quantitated by the log-rank test. The adjusted proportion of readmissions for AHF was estimated by cumulative incidence, and the differences between BNP quintiles were quantitated by the Gray test.² To assess the intrinsic effect of BNP on the hospital readmission rate, contingent on whether the patient was living during followup, the conditional probability method of Pepe et al³ was used. The Cox regression model was used to analyze the association between BNP and mortality, whereas the regression model of Fine et al⁴ and Gray² was used for competing risks of readmission due to AHF. In both cases, or if the variable presented P < .2 in the univariate analysis, variables of recognized prognostic value in the medical literature were included. Once the initial models had been established, they were simplified by stepdown elimination. The estimated coefficients were expressed as the hazard ratio (HR) with the respective 95% confidence intervals (CI). The final discriminatory ability of the multivariate models was determined by Harrell's C statistic. A P value less than .05 was considered significant in all cases. The STATA 9.2 and R (cmprsk) statistical packages were used for the statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics Stratified by BNP Level

The mean age (SD) of our population was 73.8 (10.6) years; 52.4% were women. The mean (SD) and the median

BNP level were 311 (425) and 152 (interquartile range, 90-356) pg/mL, respectively. In general, a positive, monotonic association was observed between the most common AHF severity parameters and the BNP quintiles (Table 1).

Relationship of BNP With Mortality

During a median follow-up of 9 (interquartile range, 3-18) months, 156 (27.4%) deaths were recorded, 24 for the index hospitalization and 132 after hospital discharge. The mortality distribution stratified according to BNP quintile showed a monotonic increase from lowest to highest BNP quintile (6.1%, 20.2%, 26.3%, 29.8%, and 54.9%; trend, P<.001) (Figure 1). In the final multivariate analysis, BNP was positively and closely associated with mortality (Table 2). We did not include variables such as left ventricular ejection fraction, type of AHF, or ischemic etiology in the final model, due to the absence of statistical association with mortality. Additionally, no significant interactions were found between BNP levels and potential confounding variables such as left ventricular systolic function, etiology of heart failure, kidney function, or gender. The Harrell's C statistic was higher in the model that included BNP compared to the same model without this value (0.801 vs 0.781).

Relationship of BNP With Hospital Readmissions for AHF

During follow-up, 140 (24.6%) hospital readmissions for AHF were recorded. The percentages of readmissions corresponding to the BNP quintiles were, from lowest to highest, 21.1%, 21.9%, 22.8%, 26.3%, and 31% (trend, P=.058). Figure 2 shows the curve of hospital readmissions, stratified by BNP quintile or by BNP ≥100 pg/mL. BNP did not show a significant effect in either case (Gray test, P=.79 and P=.44, respectively). To estimate the theoretical effect that BNP might have on the hospital readmission rate for AHF in the ideal context where mortality as a competing event could be eliminated, we decided to use the conditioned probability model.⁴ Figure 3 shows a significant separation between dichotomized BNP levels ($\geq 100 \text{ pg/mL}$), which confirmed its innate predictive value for readmission due to AHF, even though the high simultaneous mortality rate in our population masked this property.

DISCUSSION

In this study on a consecutive population of patients admitted with a diagnosis of AHF, we found a positive, strong, and independent association between BNP levels (measured during the first few days of hospitalization) and mortality. In addition, the analysis of intermediate events, examined with the use of innovative methodology, showed that BNP levels were not associated with a risk

TABLE 1. Baseline Sam	ple Characteristics,	Stratified B	y BNP	Quintile
-----------------------	----------------------	--------------	-------	----------

01 (20-85) (n=114) 02 (85-123) (n=114) 03 (123-250) (n=113) 04 (251-490) (n=113) 05 (495-3.240) (n=113) Demographic and medical history Age, mean (SD), y 71 (12) 73 (10) 74 (10) 73 (12) 77 (9) <.001 Women, % 55.3 60.5 51.8 50 44.2 .028 Dyslipidemia, % 47.4 35.1 36 33.3 26.6 .002 Active smokers, % 10.5 7.9 7.9 12.4 8.9 .897 Datetes mellitus, % 45.6 36.8 41.2 43 .37.2 .462 Ischemic heart disease, % 27.2 31.6 38.6 39.5 52.2 .001 Valvular heart disease, % 22.8 30.7 30.7 20.2 23.9 .520 Prior admissions for AHF, % 61.4 71.9 73.7 67.5 65.5 .782 Acute pulmonary edema, % 26.6 30.5 75.7 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001
Demographic and medical history Age, mean (SD), y 71 (12) 73 (10) 74 (10) 73 (12) 77 (9) <.001 Women, % 55.3 60.5 51.8 50 44.2 .028 Hypertension, % 74.6 78.9 83.3 82.5 76.1 .581 Dyslipidemia, % 47.4 35.1 36 33.3 26.6 .002 Active smokers, % 10.5 7.9 7.9 12.4 8.9 .897 Diabetes mellitus, % 45.6 36.8 41.2 43 37.2 .462 Ischemic heart disease, % 27.2 31.6 38.6 39.5 52.2 .001 Valvular heart disease, % 22.8 30.7 30.7 20.2 23.9 .520 Prior admissions for AHF, % 28.1 36 34.2 49.1 53.1 .001 Decompensated AHF, % 61.4 71.9 73.7 67.5 65.5 .782 Actte pulmonary edema, % 26.3 15.8 18.4 20.4 25.6 .804 Hypertensive AHF, % 10.5 10.5 7.9 9.6 5.3 .179 NYHA class III/IV (baseline), % 16.7 16.7 23.7 15.8 30.1 .031 COPD, % 18.4 17.5 21.9 19.3 23 .358 Charlson index ≥ 2, % 24.6 34.2 35.1 39.5 53.1 .001 Perior treatment with duretics, % 52.6 67.5 64 71 77.9 .001 Prior treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs, % 43.8 46.5 47.4 49.1 47.8 .478 Prior treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs, % 43.8 46.5 47.4 49.1 47.8 .478 Prior treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs, % 43.8 46.5 47.4 49.1 47.8 .478 Prior treatment with beta-blockers, % 24.5 18.4 25.4 21.9 21.2 .800 Vital signs Heart rate, bpm 100 [40] 95 [40] 102 [47] 100 [37] 90 [36] .134 Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] .001 Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140
Age, mean (SD), y71 (12)73 (10)74 (10)73 (12)77 (9)<.001Women, %55.360.551.85044.2.028Hypertension, %74.678.983.382.576.1.581Dyslipidemia, %47.435.13633.326.6.002Active smokers, %10.57.97.912.48.9897Diabetes mellitus, %45.636.841.24337.2.462Ischemic heart disease, %27.231.638.639.552.2<.001
Women, %55.360.551.85044.2.028Hypertension, %74.678.983.382.576.1.581Dyslipidemia, %47.435.13633.326.6.002Active smokers, %10.57.97.912.48.9.897Diabetes mellitus, %45.636.841.24337.2.462Ischemic heart disease, %27.231.638.639.552.2<.001
Hypertension, %74.678.983.382.576.1.581Dyslipidemia, %47.435.13633.326.6.002Active smokers, %10.57.97.912.48.9.897Diabetes mellitus, %45.636.841.24337.2.462Ischemic heart disease, %27.231.638.639.552.2<.001
Dyslipidemia, %47.435.13633.326.6.002Active smokers, %10.57.97.912.48.9.897Diabetes mellitus, %45.636.841.24337.2.462Ischemic heart disease, %27.231.638.639.552.2.001Valvular heart disease, %22.830.730.720.223.9.520Prior admissions for AHF, %61.471.973.767.565.5.782Acute pulmonary edema, %26.315.818.420.425.6.804Hypertensive AHF, %10.510.57.99.65.3.179NYHA class III/IV (baseline), %16.716.723.715.830.1.031COPD, %18.417.521.919.323.358Charlson index ≥ 2, %24.634.235.139.553.1<.001
Active smokers, %10.57.97.912.48.9.897Diabetes mellitus, %45.636.841.24337.2.462Ischemic heart disease, %27.231.638.639.552.2<.001
Diabetes mellitus, %45.636.841.24337.2.462Ischemic heart disease, %27.231.638.639.552.2<.001
Ischemic heart disease, % 27.2 31.6 38.6 39.5 52.2 <.001 Valvular heart disease, % 22.8 30.7 30.7 20.2 23.9 .520 Prior admissions for AHF, % 28.1 36 34.2 49.1 53.1 <.001
Valvular heart disease, %22.830.730.720.223.9.520Prior admissions for AHF, %28.13634.249.153.1<.001
Prior admissions for AHF, %28.13634.249.153.1<.001Decompensated AHF, %61.471.973.767.565.5.782Acute pulmonary edema, %26.315.818.420.425.6.804Hypertensive AHF, %10.510.57.99.65.3.179NYHA class III/IV (baseline), %16.716.723.715.830.1.031COPD, %18.417.521.919.323.358Charlson index ≥ 2 , %24.634.235.139.553.1<.001
Decompensated AHF, % 61.4 71.9 73.7 67.5 65.5 $.782$ Acute pulmonary edema, % 26.3 15.8 18.4 20.4 25.6 $.804$ Hypertensive AHF, % 10.5 10.5 7.9 9.6 5.3 $.179$ NYHA class III/IV (baseline), % 16.7 16.7 23.7 15.8 30.1 $.031$ COPD, % 18.4 17.5 21.9 19.3 23 $.358$ Charlson index $\ge 2, \%$ 24.6 34.2 35.1 39.5 53.1 $<.001$ Pleural effusion, on radiologic imaging, % 38.6 45.6 46.5 53.5 55.7 $.004$ Peripheral edema, % 43 62.3 57 59.6 65.5 $.004$ Prior treatment with diuretics, % 52.6 67.5 64 71 77.9 $<.001$ Prior treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs, % 43.8 46.5 47.4 49.1 47.8 $.478$ Prior treatment with beta-blockers, % 24.5 18.4 25.4 21.9 21.2 $.800$ Vital signs 100 40 95 40 140 140 40 $<.001$ Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 90 $[30]$ 80 $[20]$ 80 $[20]$ $.001$ Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 90 $[30]$ 80 $[30]$ 80 $[20]$ 80 $[20]$ $.001$ Laboratory workup 46.5 52.6 50.9 36.8 34.5
Acute pulmonary edema, %26.315.818.420.425.6.804Hypertensive AHF, %10.510.57.99.65.3.179NYHA class III/IV (baseline), %16.716.723.715.830.1.031COPD, %18.417.521.919.323.358Charlson index ≥ 2, %24.634.235.139.553.1<.001
Hypertensive AHF, %10.510.57.99.65.3.179NYHA class III/IV (baseline), %16.716.723.715.830.1.031COPD, %18.417.521.919.323.358Charlson index ≥ 2 , %24.634.235.139.553.1<.001
NYHA class III/IV (baseline), %16.716.723.715.830.1.031COPD, %18.417.521.919.323.358Charlson index ≥ 2, %24.634.235.139.553.1<.001
COPD, %18.417.521.919.323.358Charlson index ≥ 2, %24.634.235.139.553.1<.001
Charlson index ≥ 2, %24.634.235.139.553.1<.001Pleural effusion, on radiologic imaging, %38.645.646.553.555.7.004Peripheral edema, %4362.35759.665.5.004Prior treatment with diuretics, %52.667.5647177.9<.001
Pleural effusion, on radiologic imaging, % 38.6 45.6 46.5 53.5 55.7 $.004$ Peripheral edema, %43 62.3 57 59.6 65.5 $.004$ Prior treatment with diuretics, % 52.6 67.5 64 71 77.9 $<.001$ Prior treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs, % 43.8 46.5 47.4 49.1 47.8 $.478$ Prior treatment with beta-blockers, % 24.5 18.4 25.4 21.9 21.2 $.800$ Vital signs $$
Peripheral edema, % 43 62.3 57 59.6 65.5 .004 Prior treatment with diuretics, % 52.6 67.5 64 71 77.9 <.001
Prior treatment with diuretics, %52.667.5647177.9<.001Prior treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs, %43.846.547.449.147.8.478Prior treatment with beta-blockers, %24.518.425.421.921.2.800Vital signs100 [40]95 [40]102 [47]100 [37]90 [36].134Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg160 [65]140 [40]140 [44]140 [58]140 [40]<.001
Prior treatment with ACE inhibitors/ARBs, % Prior treatment with beta-blockers, %43.8 24.546.5 18.447.4 25.449.1 21.947.8 21.2.478 .800Vital signs Heart rate, bpm100 [40] 160 [65]95 [40] 140 [40]102 [47] 140 [44]100 [37] 140 [58]90 [36] .134.134 .001Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg160 [65] 90 [30]140 [40] 80 [30]140 [44] 80 [22]140 [40] 80 [20].001 .001ECG Atrial fibrillation, %46.5 25 25 34.950.9 27 37.736.8 34.5 46.4.007 .001Laboratory workup Hemoglobin, g/dL13 (1.9) 12.8 (1.9)12.9 (1.7) 12.9 (1.7)12.9 (1.9) 12.3 (2.1).021
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Vital signs Heart rate, bpm $100 [40]$ $95 [40]$ $102 [47]$ $100 [37]$ $90 [36]$ $.134$ Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg $160 [65]$ $140 [40]$ $140 [44]$ $140 [58]$ $140 [40]$ $<.001$ Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg $90 [30]$ $80 [30]$ $80 [22]$ $80 [20]$ $80 [20]$ $.001$ ECG $Atrial fibrillation, %46.552.650.936.834.5.007QRS >120 ms, %2534.92737.746.4.001Laboratory workupHemoglobin, g/dL13 (1.9)12.8 (1.9)12.9 (1.7)12.9 (1.9)12.3 (2.1).021$
Heart rate, bpm 100 [40] 95 [40] 102 [47] 100 [37] 90 [36] .134 Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] <.001
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg 160 [65] 140 [40] 140 [44] 140 [58] 140 [40] <.001
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg 90 [30] 80 [30] 80 [22] 80 [20] 80 [20] .001 ECG Atrial fibrillation, % 46.5 52.6 50.9 36.8 34.5 .007 QRS >120 ms, % 25 34.9 27 37.7 46.4 .001 Laboratory workup Hemoglobin, g/dL 13 (1.9) 12.8 (1.9) 12.9 (1.7) 12.9 (1.9) 12.3 (2.1) .021
ECG Atrial fibrillation, % 46.5 52.6 50.9 36.8 34.5 .007 QRS >120 ms, % 25 34.9 27 37.7 46.4 .001 Laboratory workup Hemoglobin, g/dL 13 (1.9) 12.8 (1.9) 12.9 (1.7) 12.9 (1.9) 12.3 (2.1) .021
Atrial fibrillation, % 46.5 52.6 50.9 36.8 34.5 .007 QRS >120 ms, % 25 34.9 27 37.7 46.4 .001 Laboratory workup 13 (1.9) 12.8 (1.9) 12.9 (1.7) 12.9 (1.9) 12.3 (2.1) .021
QRS >120 ms, % 25 34.9 27 37.7 46.4 .001 Laboratory workup 13 (1.9) 12.8 (1.9) 12.9 (1.7) 12.9 (1.9) 12.3 (2.1) .021
Laboratory workup Hemoglobin, g/dL 13 (1.9) 12.8 (1.9) 12.9 (1.7) 12.9 (1.9) 12.3 (2.1) .021
Hemoglobin, g/dL 13 (1.9) 12.8 (1.9) 12.9 (1.7) 12.9 (1.9) 12.3 (2.1) .021
Leukocytes, cells/uL 10.6 (4) 9.6 (3.2) 10.2 (3.2) 10.2 (3.6) 10.3 (4.5) .620
Serum creatinine, mo/dL 1.1 [0.4] 1.2 [0.4] 1.3 [0.6] 1.4 [0.6] 1.6 [0.6] <.001
Uric acid, mo/dL 7.7 (2.3) 7.7 (2.8) 7.9 (2.3) 7.6 (2.1) 8.5 (2.5) .032
Sodium, mEq/L 139 (4) 139 (4) 138 (5) 139 (6) .251
Troponin >0.2 ng/ml % 26.3 23.7 28.1 29.8 39.8 015
Echocardiography
LVEF <45% 23.7 27.2 39.5 47.4 57.5 <.001
AD mm $A3(7)$ $45(9)$ $43(6)$ $44(6)$ $45(7)$ 121
10(7) $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$ $10(7)$
Treatment Color Color,
Diuretics. % 98.3 98.3 99.1 99.1 99.1 424
ACE inhibitors % 43.9 35.1 44.7 42.1 33.6 357
Beta-blockers, % 49.1 47.4 60.5 57.9 41.6 768
ARRs % 27.2 32.5 28.1 30.7 27.4 926
Digital % 28.9 35.1 21.1 32.5 26.6 581
Snironolactone % 12.3 18.4 15.8 14.9 12.4 757
Anticoagulants % 43 43 42 1 31 6 25 7 001
Antiplatelet agents % 28.1 33.3 37.7 42.1 47.8 001
Nitrates, % 15.9 15 20.3 25.4 34.2 <.001

ACEIs indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; AHF, acute heart failure; ARBs, angiotensin-receptor antagonists II; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction;. Continuous variables are expressed as mean (SD) or median [interquartile range]; categorical variables are expressed as percentages.



Figure 1. All-cause mortality during follow-up, stratified by BNP quintile. The number of patients at risk and the number of deaths that have occurred are specified at each follow-up point. BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide.

TABLE 2. Hazard Ratio for Total Mortality According to BNP Level

Cox Regression Models	HR (95% CI)	Р	Harrell C
Nonadjusted HR			
BNP by increments of 100 pg/mL ^a	1.07 (1.05-1.1)	<.001	0.663
BNP quintiles, pg/mL (minimum-maximum)	0.661		
Q1 (20-85)	1		
Q2 (85-123)	3.1 (1.33-7.24)	.009	
Q3 (123-250)	4.35 (1.91-9.92)	<.001	
Q4 (251-490)	4.01 (1.78-9.06)	.001	
Q5 (495-3240)	9.37 (4.29-20.47)	<.001	
Adjusted HR ^b			
BNP by increments of 100 pg/mL	1.05 (1.03-1.08)		0.798
BNP quintiles, pg/mL (minimum-maximum)	0.801		
Q1 (20-85)	1		
Q2 (85-123)	2.75 (1.17-6.46)	.02	
Q3 (123-250)	2.76 (1.20-6.33)	.016	
Q4 (251-490)	3.38 (1.49-7.68)	.004	
Q5 (495-3240)	5.82 (2.62-12.97)	<.001	

BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide; HR, hazards ratio.

^aArea under the ROC curve =0.718.

^bFinal Cox model adjusted for age, valvular etiology, baseline NYHA functional class, prior admissions for acute heart failure, prior treatment with beta-blockers, systolic blood pressure, serum creatinine, and hemoglobin.

of hospital readmission due to AHF; however, this finding was influenced by the high mortality rate of our population, which prevented BNP from showing its biological value as a predictive factor for readmissions.

Optimal Time Point for BNP Measurements

Because BNP has a short half-life (15-20 min), the variation observed in baseline values following the

administration of aggressive treatment is substantial. This fact, rather than a problem, has been used as a clinical tool to assess therapeutic response; thus, most studies that analyze the prognostic value of BNP or NT-proBNP after an AHF event report that serial or pre-hospital discharge measurements are prognostically superior to measurements taken at admission. However, this approach limits in-hospital prognostic stratification and BNP cannot be used as a therapeutic guide, as several other studies







Figure 3. Conditional probability of readmission for acute heart failure (AHF), eliminating death as a competing event, stratified by BNP level into \geq 100 pg/mL and <100 pg/mL. BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide.

have indicated. Our approach, which consisted of measuring BNP after initial clinical stabilization, provides a biologically feasible strategy, integrating information from BNP levels at admission and its theoretical response after initial aggressive treatment, making it possible to perform risk stratification earlier than when BNP is measured before hospital discharge.

BNP and Hospital Readmissions for AHF

BNP, in any of its presentations, showed no predictive value for readmission due to AHF (Figure 2). However, the conditional probability analysis confirmed that, under ideal and theoretical circumstances in which mortality could be eliminated, BNP has significant predictive effect for hospital readmission due to AHF (Figure 3).

Limitations

This study has certain limitations. First, its observational nature may have introduced bias related to the difficulty for including prognostic variables that are unknown or not collected in our records. Second, although BNP was usually measured early in the hospitalization, it was not done in all patients at the same time point after admission, a fact that introduces some variability. Lastly, owing to the absence of serial measurements, we cannot establish the time point offering the greatest prognostic yield in this situation.

REFERENCES

- Nieminen MS, Bohm M, Cowie MR, Drexler H, Filippatos GS, Jondeau G, et al. Executive summary of the guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of acute heart failure: the Task Force on Acute Heart Failure of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2005;26:384-416.
- Gray RJ. A class of K-sample tests for comparing the cumulative incidence of a competing risk. Ann Stat. 1988;16:1141-54.

- 3. Pepe MS, Mori M. Kaplan-Meier, marginal or conditional probability curves in summarizing competing risks failure time data? Stat Med. 1993;12:737-51.
- Fine JP, Gray RJ. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. J Am Stat Assoc. 1999;94: 496-509.
- Bayés-Genís A, Pascual-Figal D, Fabregat J, Domingo M, Planas F, Casas T, et al. Serial NT-proBNP monitoring and outcomes in outpatients with decompensation of heart failure. Int J Cardiol. 2007;120:338-43.
- Hamada Y, Tanaka N, Murata K, Takaki A, Wada Y, Oyama R, et al. Significance of predischarge BNP on one-year outcome in decompensated heart failure —comparative study with echo-Doppler indexes. J Card Fail. 2005;11:43-9.
- Januzzi JL, Van Kimmenade R, Lainchbury J, Bayés-Genís A, Ordónez-Llanos J, Santaló-Bel M, et al. NT-proBNP testing for diagnosis and short-term prognosis in acute destabilized heart failure: an international pooled analysis of 1256 patients: the International Collaborative of NT-proBNP Study. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:330-7.
- Logeart D, Thabut G, Jourdain P, Chavelas C, Beyne P, Beauvais F, et al. Predischarge B-type natriuretic peptide assay for identifying patients at high risk of re-admission after decompensated heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43: 635-41.