# Original article

# Impact of Calcium Score on Agreement Between Multidetector Computed Tomography and Invasive Coronary Angiography



José Alberto de Agustín,<sup>a,\*</sup> José Juan Gómez de Diego,<sup>a</sup> Pedro Marcos-Alberca,<sup>a</sup> Patricia Mahía,<sup>a</sup> José Luis Rodrigo,<sup>a</sup> María Luaces,<sup>a</sup> Iván Javier Núñez-Gil,<sup>a</sup> Joaquín Ferreiros,<sup>b</sup> Ana Bustos,<sup>b</sup> Beatriz Cabeza,<sup>b</sup> Miguel Ángel García-Fernández,<sup>a</sup> Carlos Macaya,<sup>a</sup> and Leopoldo Pérez de Isla<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Instituto Cardiovascular, Hospital Universitario San Carlos, Madrid, Spain<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Radiología, Hospital Universitario San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

Article history: Received 1 September 2016 Accepted 4 April 2017 Available online 18 May 2017

Keywords: Calcium score Computed tomography Coronary angiography

Palabras clave: Puntuación de calcio Tomografía computarizada Angiografía coronaria

### A B S T R A C T

*Introduction and objectives*: Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) has been demonstrated as a feasible alternative to invasive coronary angiography (ICA). However, contradictory results have been reported regarding the effect of coronary artery calcium score (CS) on the diagnostic accuracy of MDCT. Our aim was to assess the agreement of MDCT and ICA and to evaluate the influence of CS on this agreement.

*Methods:* We enrolled 266 consecutive patients who underwent evaluation with 64-slice MDCT and ICA. Standard CS software tools were used to calculate the Agatston score. Stenosis was qualitatively classified as mild, moderate, or severe by 1 blinded observer and the results were compared with those of ICA, which was used as the gold standard.

**Results:** The mean age of the patients was  $65.4 \pm 11.2$  years, and 188 patients (70.3%) were men. A total of 484 segments with coronary stenosis  $\geq$  mild were qualitatively evaluated and quantified with MDCT. Noninvasive measurements were concordant with ICA in 402 stenoses (83.05%; Kappa, 0.684), with no significant differences between vessels and with no statistically significant influence of CS on this agreement (OR, 0.93; 95%CI, 0.76-1.09; *P* = .21). Multidetector computed tomography had high sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value on a per-segment, per-vessel, and per-patient basis. *Conclusions:* Non-ICA using MDCT showed good agreement with ICA in the qualitative quantification coronary stenosis and CS had no significant impact on this agreement.

© 2017 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

# Impacto de la puntuación de calcio en la concordancia entre la tomografía computarizada con multidetectores y la coronariografía invasiva

## RESUMEN

*Introducción y objetivos:* Está demostrado que la tomografía computarizada con multidetectores (TCMD) es una alternativa factible a la coronariografía invasiva (CI). Sin embargo, se han indicado resultados contradictorios sobre el efecto de la puntuación de calcio (PC) coronario en la precisión diagnóstica de la TCMD. El objetivo de este estudio es evaluar la concordancia entre la TCMD y la CI y evaluar la influencia de la PC en ella.

*Métodos:* Se incluyó a 266 pacientes consecutivos sometidos a evaluación por TCMD de 64 cortes y por CI. Se utilizó el *software* habitual para la PC mediante el método Agatston. Un observador clasificó cualitativamente y de manera enmascarada las estenosis como leve, moderada o grave, y se compararon con los resultados obtenidos por la CI, utilizada como método de referencia.

**Resultados:** La media de edad de los pacientes era  $65,4 \pm 11,2$  años, y 188 (70,3%) eran varones. Se evaluó cualitativamente y se cuantificó por TCMD un total de 484 segmentos con estenosis coronaria al menos leve. Las mediciones no invasivas concordaban con la CI en 402 estenosis (el 83,05%; kappa = 0,684), sin diferencias significativas entre vasos y sin una influencia estadística significativa de la PC en la concordancia (OR = 0,93; IC95%, 0,76-1,09; p = 0,21). La TCMD tuvo sensibilidad, especificidad, valor predictivo positivo y valor predictivo negativo altos en los análisis por segmento, por vaso y por paciente.

*Conclusiones:* La coronariografía no invasiva mediante TCMD mostró buena concordancia con la CI en la cuantificación cualitativa de las estenosis coronarias, y la PC no tuvo un impacto significativo en esa concordancia.

© 2017 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

\* Corresponding author: Instituto Cardiovascular, Hospital Universitario San Carlos, Profesor Martín Lagos s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain. *E-mail address:* albertutor@hotmail.com (J.A. de Agustín).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2017.04.012

1885-5857/© 2017 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

# Abbreviations

CS: calcium score ECG: electrocardiogram ICA: invasive coronary angiography MDCT: multidetector computed tomography

# **INTRODUCTION**

Over the past few years, multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) has been demonstrated as a feasible alternative to invasive coronary angiography (ICA), allowing noninvasive evaluation of the coronary arteries.<sup>1-4</sup> However, contradictory results have been reported regarding the effect of coronary artery calcium score (CS) on the diagnostic accuracy of MDCT.<sup>5,6</sup> With the first generations of MDCT scanners, severe coronary calcifications were recognized as an important factor hampering precise evaluation of coronary artery stenosis, thereby limiting diagnostic accuracy. Calcified plaques produce artifacts (blooming) which may affect the evaluation of luminal obstruction.<sup>7</sup> At the same time, more extensive coronary calcification increases the likelihood that the patient has obstructive coronary artery disease,<sup>8,9</sup> and ICA is usually required for definitive diagnosis and treatment. Advances in temporal and spatial resolution, especially the introduction of 64-detector rows, and growing experience concerning strategies for optimization of image quality, have allowed high-quality noninvasive angiograms to be conducted in most patients. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the validity and agreement of MDCT and ICA in patients with coronary artery disease and to evaluate the impact of coronary artery CS on the diagnostic accuracy of MDCT.

# **METHODS**

### **Study Population**

A total of 271 consecutive patients who were evaluated with 64-slice MDCT and who subsequently underwent ICA were evaluated; 5 patients were excluded because of a lack of image quality (eg, coronary motion, vessel size, breathing artifacts) or technical scan insufficiencies (eg, scan abortion, misplaced scan range, poorly executed contrast media timing, or electrocardiogram [ECG] misregistrations), resulting in a final sample of 266 patients. Demographic and clinical characteristics, including age, sex, cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, smoking status), kidney failure, and peripheral arterial disease were identified. Kidney failure was defined as a serum creatinine level of more than 1.3 mg/dL (115 µmol/L). Patients with atrial fibrillation, significant renal insufficiency, or a history of significant iodinated contrast allergy were excluded. In addition, we excluded those with a previously documented history of obstructive coronary artery disease. The decision to perform ICA and MDCT was taken by the patient's physician in all cases based on age, risk factors for coronary artery disease, and the severity or persistence of symptoms. All patients gave written informed consent for ICA and MDCT.

### **Multidetector Computed Tomography Acquisitions**

MDCT data were acquired using Brilliance 64 MDCT (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands). Before CS and MDCT examinations, heart rate and blood pressure were monitored. In the absence of contraindications, participants received propranolol

(5-15 mg intravenously) if the resting heart rate exceeded 65 bpm. All participants were in sinus rhythm. The heart rate of all participants ranged between 45 and 77 bpm (average,  $62 \pm 6$  bpm) with or without premedication. Sublingual nitroglycerin was routinely used 1 minute before MDCT to dilate coronary arteries. The participants were imaged in the supine position. The participants were instructed to maintain an inspiratory breathhold during which the MDCT data and ECG trace were acquired. Scanning was performed from the tracheal bifurcation to 1 cm below the diaphragmatic portion of the heart. First, an ECG-gated scan without contrast media was performed to determine the CS. After a scout scan, a volume of 80 to 120 mL of contrast media (iopamidol 370 mg/mL, Bracco) was injected intravenously via an 18-gauge catheter placed in the antecubital vein, at a rate of 5 mL/s and controlled with a bolus-tracking technique, followed by a 50-mL bolus of saline. Scanning started automatically with a delay of 5 seconds after a predefined threshold of 140 HU was reached in the aortic root. Scanning was performed at 120 kV, with an effective tube current of 600 to 1000 mAs, slice collimation of  $64 \times 0.625$  mm acquisition, gantry rotation time of 0.4 seconds, and pitch of 0.2. Image reconstruction was routinely performed using the retrospective ECG-gating method. A prospective ECG-gated scan using the "step-and-shoot" protocol was only performed in thin patients with a heart rate < 65 bpm. In this study, 67.4% of the MDCT examinations were retrospective and 32.6% were prospective. The effective dose of MDCT was estimated from the dose-length product and an organ weighing factor [k = 0.017 mSv  $\times$  (mGv  $\times$  cm)<sup>-1</sup>] for the chest as the investigated anatomical region.

#### **Image Processing and Analysis**

Postprocessing of the CS and MDCT examinations was performed on dedicated workstations (Philips Extended Brilliance Workspace). For each study, a CS was determined using the methods of Agatston et al.<sup>10</sup> Coronary CS was measured without contrast using semiautomatic software (HeartBeat CS, Philips Medical Systems), which displayed colored spots for calcium to be manually marked by the operator and automatically calculated all spots to a summed CS (Figure). A CS was calculated for each epicardial coronary segment and recorded as a composite (ie, total or summed) score for the entire epicardial coronary system (left main, left anterior descending, left circumflex, and right coronary arteries). Contrast-enhanced multidetector computed tomograms were examined for the presence of obstructive coronary luminal narrowing in all available segments. The MDCT angiograms were examined using axial slices, curved multiplanar reconstructions, and maximum intensity projections (Figure). Coronary arteries were divided into 17 segments based on modified recommendations of the American Heart Association.<sup>11</sup> Each vessel was analyzed on at least 2 planes, 1 parallel and 1 perpendicular to the course of the vessel. Semiquantitative assessment was performed on all segments of the coronary artery tree, with an estimate of stenosis severity calculated as the ratio of the minimum contrast lumen over the normal reference lumen of an unaffected distal portion. Severe coronary stenosis was defined as reduction > 70% of the lumen diameter, moderate as a reduction of 50% to 70% of the lumen diameter, and mild as a reduction < 50% of the lumen diameter. Scans were analyzed through consensus of an experienced radiologist and a cardiologist, who were both blinded to the clinical history. Discrepancies were resolved after additional joint review and discussion.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Categorical data are presented as absolute frequencies



**Figure.** Example of the coronary calcium score acquisition (A). Contrast-enhanced MDCT showing a severe luminal stenosis in the right coronary artery (B, arrows). LAD, left anterior descending coronary; MDCT, multidetector computed tomography.

and percentages. The normality of the distribution of variables was examined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between groups were analyzed using the Student *t* test for continuous variables or the chi-square test for categorical variables. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of MDCT was analyzed on a per-segment, pervessel, and per-patient basis. The kappa index was used to assess the agreement between MDCT and ICA. The chi-square test was used to assess differences in the agreement of MDCT and ICA between vessels. Conditional logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the impact of CS (as a quantitative variable) on the agreement between MDCT and ICA (as a qualitative variable: yes or no), including in this analysis the 484 segments with coronary stenosis. The diagnostic accuracy of MDCT compared with that of ICA was determined on a per-segment, per-vessel, and per-patient basis. To assess the effect of observer variability and reproducibility, a second independent observer analyzed 50 randomly selected segments. Intraobserver variability was assessed by comparing the measurements given by the same observer after an interval of more than a week between the 2 measurements. Both readers were blinded to previous measurements. A 2-tailed P < .05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, United States).

# RESULTS

The mean age of the patients was  $65.4 \pm 11.2$  years, and 188 (70.7%) were men. All MDCT examinations were performed without complications. The estimated average effective radiation exposure was 1.3  $\pm$  0.5 mSv for CS and 10.2  $\pm$  4.3 mSv for MDCT coronary angiography. The mean effective dose of prospective ECGgated scans was 4.5  $\pm$  2.3 mSv. Total CS for the 266 patients ranged from 0 to 1623.1 and the average CS was 916.0  $\pm$  376. A total of 484 segments with coronary stenosis  $\geq$  mild were qualitatively evaluated and quantified with MDCT. Noninvasive measurements were concordant with ICA in 402 stenoses (83.05%, kappa = 0.684), and discordant in 82 stenosis. Of these 82 stenoses, 15 (18%) were due to heavy calcification, preventing proper display of the lumen. No significant differences were found between vessels in the agreement of MDCT and ICA (P = .29 in chi-square test, Table 1). The average CS was 885  $\pm$  312 in cases with agreement of MDCT and ICA and was  $1068 \pm 396$  in cases without agreement, with no significant differences in the Student t test, P = .22). No significant influence

of CS (as a quantitative variable) in the agreement of MDCT and ICA (as a qualitative variable: yes or no) was demonstrated in the conditional logistic regression analysis (n = 484, OR, 0.93; 95%CI, 0.76-1.09; P = .21). Table 2 shows the agreement between MCDT and ICA stratified by the CS groups. CS slightly influenced the agreement of MDCT and ICA, but no statistically significant difference was demonstrated in the 4 CS groups (P = .18). On a per-segment basis, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of multislice computed tomography angiography were 96.5%, 77.7%, 90.3%, and 95.3%, respectively; on a per-vessel basis, these values were 97.8%, 75.3%, 89.6%, and 96.4%, respectively; on a per-patient basis, these values were 98.8%, 74.6%, 88.8%, and 97.6%, respectively. Good intra- and interobserver agreement for MDCT were obtained, with intraclass correlation coefficients of 0.95 and 0.91, respectively.

## DISCUSSION

The main findings of the present study are that non-ICA using 64-slice MDCT has good agreement with ICA in the qualitative quantification of CS with no significant differences between vessels, and CS has no significant impact on this agreement. This study has important clinical implications, and based on our findings, MDCT could be performed in patients with high CS using current technology.

#### Table 1

Agreement Between MDCT and ICA Depending on the Vessel. Chi-square Test Showed no Significant Differences Between Vessels in this Agreement (P = .29)

Coronary artery (number of stenosis)	Agreement 64 MCDT angiography y, %	Карра
Left main (n = 42)	85.7	0.775
Proximal anterior descending (n = 78)	84.5	0.714
Medial anterior descending $(n = 106)$	83.9	0.685
Proximal circumflex (n = 46)	78.6	0.611
Medial circumflex (n = 36)	84.4	0.709
Proximal right coronary (n = 68)	79.6	0.613
Medial right coronary (n = 71)	82.6	0.660
Distal right coronary (n = 37)	86.8	0.705
Total (n = 484)	83.05	0.684

ICA, invasive coronary angiography; MDCT, multidetector computed tomography.

#### Table 2

Agreement Between MDCT and ICA Depending on the Groups of CS. Chi-square Test Showed no Significant Differences Between Groups of CS in This Agreement (P = .18)

Calcium score	Agreement MDCT angiography y, %	Карра
< 100 (n = 22)	85.7	0.721
100-400 (n = 51)	84.5	0.703
400-800 (n = 252)	82.9	0.672
> 800 (n = 159)	79.9	0.654

CS, calcium score; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; MDCT, multidetector computed tomography.

Coronary artery calcium is closely correlated with atherosclerotic plaque formation and is thus a sensitive marker of existing atherosclerosis.<sup>12–15</sup> A number of studies have confirmed that CS is a highly sensitive test for coronary atherosclerotic plaque and for "significant" coronary lumen disease with a high negative predictive value.<sup>16–19</sup> CS has been shown to be predictive of major cardiovascular events and to modify the cardiovascular risk predicted by the Framingham risk score, especially in the intermediate risk group.<sup>20-23</sup> CS may be useful in helping to determine which patients would benefit most from pharmacologic therapy, such as cholesterol-lowering medication. In our study, traditional cardiovascular risk factors were not predictors of calcium scores. This finding could explain the finding that the predictive value of CS for major cardiovascular events was superior to traditional cardiovascular risk factors. CS has some additional advantages: it takes approximately 5 minutes to perform and interpret, is measured without contrast, and is a low radiation scan.<sup>24,25</sup>

The presence of calcium causes problems in the correct interpretation of MDCT coronary angiography. Calcium creates blooming artifacts, which obscure the visualization of the underlying noncalcified plaque or lumen. Calcium tends to overestimate the severity of adjacent lesions, either because of the blooming effect itself or because, if there is doubt or fear of "missing" a significant stenosis, "defensive" scoring is performed. With the first generation of MDCT scanners, severe coronary calcifications have been recognized as an important factor hampering precise evaluation of coronary artery stenoses, thereby limiting diagnostic accuracy.<sup>26,27</sup> At the same time, more extensive coronary calcification increases the likelihood that the patient has obstructive coronary artery disease.<sup>8,9</sup> Advances in temporal and spatial resolution, especially the introduction of 64-slice MDCT, have enabled high-quality non-ICA. The newer scanners allow rapid scanning of the cardiac anatomy, require minimal patient cooperation (short breath hold), and have improved image quality (better spatial and temporal resolutions) and high diagnostic accuracy.<sup>28-32</sup> However, the main objective of MDCT is not to replace ICA, and these diagnostic tools are complementary. Innovations in the scanning process may reduce the importance of calcium in the future. At present, there is no firm consensus on the extent of coronary calcification that precludes a technically adequate coronary MDCT angiogram. In addition, total CS is somewhat misleading, because calcium distributed along the entire coronary tree would make the interpretation of an MDCT examination relatively easy, whereas a single heavily calcified plaque would make interpretation doubtful. Coronary MDCT may yield useful information despite extensive coronary calcification, particularly in patients with low heart rates and a low-tomoderate body weight. Thus, the decision to proceed with coronary MDCT in the presence of a high coronary CS is taken by the attending physician.

#### Limitations

The present study has certain limitations. First, it is a descriptive, retrospective study performed in a single center. Second, MDCT is limited to the anatomic visualization of stenosis and does not provide information as to the functional relevance of a lesion. Third, no quantitative coronary angiography was performed and the stenoses were semiguantitatively assessed with both ICA and MDCT. Furthermore, ICA was not performed systematically, but was based on the result of the MDCT, producing a bias. Thus, these results can only be taken into account in similar contexts to the present study. Conditional simple logistic regression analysis (used to analyze the impact of CS on the agreement between MDCT and ICA) does not rule out the possibility that the results are due to chance. In addition, there may be a lack of statistical power that may influence the results of the present study. The lack of ischemic correlates on stress testing limited the clinical relevance of the findings. We did not assess the pattern of calcium deposits in this study. Extensive arterial wall calcifications still impair vessel assessment, but no segment had to be excluded from the analysis. A general limitation for all scoring methods is that the overall calcium burden poorly reflects the distribution of calcifications within the coronary tree. A single large calcified plaque in a proximal vessel segment may be more deleterious for image interpretation than multiple small speckles widely distributed. Further studies are warranted to determine the evaluability of MDCT examinations with respect to distribution patterns and plaque morphology.

### CONCLUSIONS

The present study demonstrates that non-ICA using 64-slice MDCT has good agreement with ICA in the qualitative quantification of coronary stenosis, with no significant impact of CS on this agreement. This study has important clinical implications and, based on our findings, MDCT could be performed in patients with high CS using current technology.

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None declared.

### WHAT IS KNOWN ABOUT THE TOPIC?

- With the first generations of MDCT scanners, severe coronary calcifications were recognized as an important factor hampering precise evaluation of coronary artery stenosis, thereby limiting diagnostic accuracy.
- Calcified plaques produce artifacts (blooming) that may affect the evaluation of luminal obstruction.

# WHAT DOES THIS STUDY ADD?

- The main findings of the present study are that non-ICA using 64-slice MDCT has good agreement with ICA in the qualitative quantification of coronary stenosis, and CS has no significant impact on this agreement.
- This study has important clinical implications and, based on our findings, MDCT could be performed in patients with high CS using current technology.

### REFERENCES

- Achenbach S, Giesler T, Ropers D, et al. Detection of coronary artery stenoses by contrast enhanced, retrospectively electrocardiographically-gated, multislice spiral computed tomography. *Circulation*. 2001;103:2535–2538.
- Schuijf JD, Bax JJ, Shaw LJ, et al. Meta-analysis of comparative diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance imaging and multi-slice computed tomography for non-invasive coronary angiography. Am Heart J. 2006;151:404–411.
- Leschka S, Alkadhi H, Plass A, et al. Accuracy of MSCT coronary angiography with 64-slice technology: first experience. *Eur Heart J.* 2005;26:1482–1487.
- Mollet NR, Cademartiri F, Van Mieghem CA, et al. High-resolution spiral computed tomography coronary angiography in patients referred for diagnostic conventional coronary angiography. *Circulation*. 2005;112:2318–2323.
- Kuettner A, Burgstahler C, Beck T, et al. Coronary vessel visualization using true 16row multislice computed tomography technology. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2005;21:331–337.
- Hoffmann U, Moselewski F, Cury RC, et al. Predictive value of 16-slice multidetector spiral computed tomography to detect significant obstructive coronary artery disease in patients at high risk for coronary artery disease: patient-versus segment-based analysis. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2638–2643.
- Achenbach S. Computed tomography coronary angiography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;48:1919–1928.
- Rumberger JA, Simons DB, Fitzpatrick LA, Sheedy PF, Schwartz RS. Coronary artery calcium area by electron-beam computed tomography and coronary atherosclerotic plaque area. A histopathologic correlative study. *Circulation*. 1995;92:2157–2162.
- De Agustin JA, Marcos-Alberca P, Fernández-Golfin C, et al. Should computed tomography coronary angiography be aborted when the calcium score exceeds a certain threshold in patients with chest pain? *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;167:2013–2017.
- Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte Jr M, Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1990;15:827–832.
- 11. Raff GL, Abidov A, Achenbach S, et al. SCCT guidelines for the interpretation and reporting of coronary computed tomographic angiography. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2009;3:122–136.
- McCarthy JH, Palmer FJ. Incidence and significance of coronary artery calcification. Br Heart J. 1974;36:499–506.
- Rifkin RD, Parisi AF, Folland E. Coronary calcification in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 1979;44:141–147.
- Frink RJ, Achor RW, Brown AL, Kincaid JW, Brandenburg RO. Significance of calcification of the coronary arteries. Am J Cardiol. 1970;26:241–247.
- Blankenhorn DH, Stern D. Calcification of the coronary arteries. Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med. 1959;81:772–777.
- Rumberger JA, Sheedy PF, Breen JF, Schwartz RS. Electron beam computed tomographic coronary calcium score cutpoints and severity of associated angiographic lumen stenosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1997;29:1542–1548.
- 17. Schmermund A, Denktas AE, Rumberger JA, et al. Independent and incremental value of coronary artery calcium for predicting the extent of angiographic coronary

artery disease: comparison with cardiac risk factors and radionuclide perfusion imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1999;34:777–786.

- Budoff MJ, Diamond GA, Raggi P, et al. Continuous probabilistic prediction of angiographically significant coronary artery disease using electron beam tomography. *Circulation*. 2002;105:1791–1796.
- **19.** Haberl R, Becker A, Leber A, et al. Correlation of coronary calcification and angiographically documented stenoses in patients with suspected coronary artery disease: results of 1,764 patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2001;37:451–457.
- Budoff MJ, Shaw LJ, Liu ST, et al. Long-term prognosis associated with coronary calcification. Observations from a registry of 25,253 patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;49:1860–1870.
- Detrano R, Guerci AD, Carr JJ, et al. Coronary calcium as a predictor of coronary events in four racial or ethnic groups. N Engl J Med. 2008;358:1336–1345.
- Greenland P, LaBree L, Azen SP, Doherty TM, Detrano RC. Coronary artery calcium score combined with Framingham score for risk prediction in asymptomatic individuals. JAMA. 2004;291:210–215.
- Wayhs R, Zelinger A, Raggi P. High coronary artery calcium scores pose an extremely elevated risk for hard events. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39:225–230.
- Hunold P, Vogt FM, Schmermund A, et al. Radiation exposure during cardiac CT: effective doses at multi-detector row CT and electron-beam CT. *Radiology*. 2003;226:145–152.
- 25. Gerber TC, Carr JJ, Arai AE, et al. Ionizing Radiation in Cardiac Imaging: A Science Advisory From the American Heart Association Committee on Cardiac Imaging of the Council on Clinical Cardiology and Committee on Cardiovascular Imaging and Intervention of the Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention. *Circulation*. 2009;119:1056–1065.
- 26. Pundziute G, Schuijf JD, Jukema JW, et al. Impact of coronary calcium score on diagnostic accuracy of multislice computed tomography coronary angiography for detection of coronary artery disease. J Nucl Cardiol. 2007;14:36–43.
- Cordeiro MA, Miller JM, Schmidt A, et al. Non-invasive half millimetre 32 detector row computed tomography angiography accurately excludes significant stenoses in patients with advanced coronary artery disease and high calcium scores. *Heart*. 2006;92:589–597.
- Raff GL, Gallagher MJ, O'Neill WW, Goldstein JA. Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:552–557.
- 29. Pugliese F, Mollet NR, Runza G, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive 64-slice CT coronary angiography in patients with stable angina pectoris. *Eur Radiol.* 2006;16:575–582.
- Vanhoenacker PK, Heijenbrok-Kal MH, Van Heste R, et al. Diagnostic performance of multidetector CT angiography for assessment of coronary artery disease: metaanalysis. *Radiology*. 2007;244:419–428.
- Andreini D. Dual Energy Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography for Detection and Quantification of Atherosclerotic Burden: Diagnostic and Prognostic Significance. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2016;69:885–887.
- Marcus R, Ruff C, Burgstahler C, et al. Recent Scientific Evidence and Technical Developments in Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2016;69:509–514.