Brief report High-Flow Therapy via Nasal Cannula in Acute Heart Failure

José Manuel Carratalá Perales, Pere Llorens,^{*} Benjamín Brouzet, Alejandro Ricardo Albert Jiménez, José María Fernández-Cañadas, José Carbajosa Dalmau, Elena Martínez Beloqui, and Sergio Ramos Forner

Servicio de Urgencias y Unidad de Corta Estancia, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Article history: Received 27 August 2010 Accepted 25 October 2010 Available online 16 April 2011

Keywords: Acute heart failure Non-invasive ventilation High-flow oxygen therapy

Palabras clave: Insuficiencia cardiaca aguda Ventilación no invasiva Terapia de alto flujo

ABSTRACT

Various oxygenization methods are used in the treatment of respiratory failure in acute heart failure. Occasionally, after patients are stabilized by these ventilation methods, some maintain a degree of dyspnea or hypoxemia which does not improve and is unrelated to deterioration in the functional class or the need to optimize pharmacological treatment. High-flow oxygen systems administered via nasal cannula that are connected to heated humidifiers (HFT) are a good alternative for oxygenation, given that they are easy to use and have few complications. We studied a series of 5 patients with acute heart failure due to acute pulmonary edema with stable dyspnea or hypoxemia following noninvasive ventilation. All the patients were successfully treated with HFT, showing clinical and gasometric improvement and no complications or technical failures. We report our experience and discuss different aspects related to this oxygenation system.

© 2010 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Terapia de alto flujo de oxígeno con cánulas nasales en la insuficiencia cardiaca aguda

RESUMEN

En el tratamiento de la insuficiencia respiratoria en la insuficiencia cardiaca aguda se utilizan diferentes métodos de oxigenación. En ocasiones los pacientes, tras ser estabilizados con dichos modos ventilatorios, mantienen un grado de disnea o hipoxemia que no mejora y no es atribuible a un empeoramiento del grado funcional o la necesidad de optimizar el tratamiento farmacológico. Los sistemas de alto flujo con interfase nasal con un calentador humidificador acoplado (AFHC) son una buena alternativa como método de oxigenación, de fácil aplicación y escasas complicaciones. Presentamos una serie de 5 pacientes con insuficiencia cardiaca aguda por edema agudo de pulmón con disnea o hipoxemia mantenidas tras la aplicación de ventilación no invasiva. Todos ellos fueron tratados con sistemas de AFHC de forma satisfactoria, con mejoría clínica y gasométrica, sin complicaciones ni fracasos de la técnica. Describimos nuestra experiencia y discutimos diversos aspectos relacionados con dicho sistema de oxigenación.

© 2010 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

INTRODUCTION

Acute heart failure (AHF) is one of the main causes of acute respiratory failure (ARF) and is generally treated with conventional oxygenation systems (nasal cannula, Venturi mask); however, newer means of ventilation are now available that are more effective and easier to use.¹⁻³ New respiratory devices make it possible to heat and humidify air flows administered through a nasal cannula, enabling use of higher flows of up to 60 l/min.

These high-flow nasal systems with a built-in heated humidifier provide an alternative and effective means of oxygenation. They have been widely used in the home treatment of chronic respiratory failure patients, in post-surgical ARF, in intensive care of children and adult patients with ARF, but above all in cases of

E-mail address: llorens_ped@gva.es (P. Llorens).

hypoxemia and dyspnea that do not respond to treatment with traditional Venturi masks. These systems provide a higher and more constant oxygen fraction, reduce respiratory dead space, generate positive airway pressure, and offer improved comfort and tolerance.⁴⁻⁶

We describe our experience with the humidified high-flow oxygen therapy via nasal cannula to treat 5 patients initially treated with noninvasive ventilation but who developed hypoxemia refractory to conventional oxygenation methods.

METHODS

The clinical profile of 5 patients with AHF due to acute pulmonary edema (APE) treated with a high-flow system via nasal cannulas with a built-in heated humidifier (HFT) (ie, the Optiflow[®] by Fisher and Paykel with a rotameter of up to 60 l/min) was detailed. The patients suffered dyspnea and refractory hypoxemia

1885-5857/\$ – see front matter © 2010 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.rec.2010.10.035

^{*} Corresponding author: Servicio de Urgencias-UCE, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Pintor Baeza 12, 03010 Alicante, Spain.

Table 1

Demographic Characteristics and Comorbidity of the 5 Patients

Age (years)	84.2 ± 4.6	
Women	3 (60)	
Arterial hypertension	5 (100)	
Diabetes mellitus	1 (20)	
Chronic heart failure	5 (100)	
COPD	2 (40)	
Atrial fibrillation	3 (60)	
Chronic kidney disease	1 (20)	
Dyslipidemia	4 (80)	
Ischemic heart disease	2 (40)	
Barthel index	36 ± 38	
Charlson index	6 ± 1	

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Data are expressed as N (%) or mean \pm standard deviation.

at 24 h after admission and were treated with conventional oxygenation systems (Venturi mask with unheated Aqua-pack[®]-type humidifier and a rotameter of up to 15 l/min) in a short stay unit (SSU). Both systems used a theoretical fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) of 1.

Clinical variables collected include degree of dyspnea (modified $Borg^7$ scale), blood oxygen saturation (SaO₂) measured by pulse oximeter, heart rate, respiratory rate, and systolic blood pressure. Arterial gasometry was performed at 24 h after admission and 24 h after treatment with the HFT system.

We also recorded the patients' degree of comfort,⁸ complications, and total time of high-flow oxygenation.

Drug therapy followed European Society of Cardiology recommendations.⁹

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables were examined using the Shapiro-Wilks W test for normality. The assumption of normality was only rejected in the case of SaO_2 at 24 h. The rest of the variables were analyzed using a Student T test for the intrasubject comparison of 2 means. Variables not meeting the assumption of normality were analyzed using a Wilcoxon T test. Qualitative variables were

Table 2

Clinical and Gasometric Parameters Before Administering High-Flow Oxygen and After 24 h

	Before high-flow oxygen; FiO ₂ 100%	After 24 h of high-flow oxygen	Р
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	73.4 ± 4.3	98.8 ± 4.76	<.001
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	53.2 ± 13	47.4 ± 8	.109
рН	7.33	7.39	.047
SaO ₂ (%)	85.4 ± 2.41	99.4 ± 0.89	.042 ^a
HCO ₃	$38.5~\pm~7.59$	40 ± 4.69	.188
HR (bpm)	103 ± 7	91 ± 3	.024
SBP (mmHg)	154 ± 21	149.6 ± 15	.379
RR (bpm)	35 ± 2	24 ± 3	.002
Degree of dyspnea, ^b $\%$			
Mild	0	80	
Moderate	20	20	
Severe	80	0	

bpm, breaths per minute; bpm, beats per minute; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; HR, heart rate; PaCO₂, partial pressure of CO₂ in arterial blood; PaO₂, partial pressure of O₂ in arterial blood; RR, respiratory rate; SaO₂, Saturation level of O₂ by pulse oximeter; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

^a Wilcoxon T test.

^b In accordance with Borg's modified scale.

compared with the chi-square test. Statistical analysis was carried out using the SPSS 15 software.

RESULTS

Of the 5 patients in the study, 3 were women (60%); the mean age was 84.2 ± 4.6 years; all were highly dependent in basic activities of daily living (Barthel index 36 ± 38 points), with high rates of comorbidity (Charlson index 6 ± 1); 100% were hypertensive and had chronic heart failure; 60% had permanent atrial fibrillation and chronic ischemic cardiopathy; and 20% suffered chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Table 1).

All 5 patients were treated with non-invasive ventilation in the emergency room, 3 with constant positive airway pressure and 2 with bi-level PAP.¹⁰

The clinical and gasometric parameters and the degree of dyspnea showed significant improvement after 24 h of treatment with the HFT system (Table 2). In patients with moderate or severe dyspnea, the intensity of the condition improved significantly, becoming mild in 80% of the patients, with a reduction in respiratory effort and tachypnea.

The degree of patient comfort with the HFT system was high: 2 patients had a feeling of tracheal discomfort, which was self-limited after the adaptation period and did not require withdrawal of the treatment.

Mean length of HFT system use was 62.4 ± 21.4 h.

DISCUSSION

It is common to find patients with AHF who, after being stabilized, maintain a level of dyspnea or hypoxemia which does not improve with conventional oxygenation systems and cannot be attributed to deterioration in functional level or a need to optimize medical treatment. In our case, the HFT system was effective with all the patients and improvement was observed in the evolution of the disease: significant reduction in the intensity of the dyspnea, improved respiratory effort and tachypnea, and the disappearance of hypoxemia.

Active HFT systems have been used for years to treat chronic respiratory failure in adults (sleep apnea syndrome and neuro-muscular diseases), as they offer dyspnea control and efficient oxygenation with good tolerance.¹¹

There is little evidence of treating ARF adults with HFT systems. In 2010, Roca et al.¹² compared the comfort and efficacy of an HFT system with a conventional Venturi mask in 20 ARF patients of diverse etiology who were treated in an intensive care unit. They obtained statistically significant results in favor of the HFT system, which produced few side effects. These data are in agreement with those from our patients, although ours remained on high-flow treatment longer (62 h compared to 30 min) and all were admitted due to APE.

The improvements in clinical and gasometric parameters with this system have two main causes: first, HFT systems provide a more constant FiO_2 , and second, the use of a nasal cannula as the interface reduces the amount of respiratory dead space and generates a constant positive pressure directly proportional to the flow used and to the resistance created during expiration, which contributes to increased oxygenation.¹³

Other beneficial characteristics of note are that the active humidification and heating of the gas facilitates bronchial secretion clearance, improves the sensation of dyspnea and bronchial hyperreactivity, reduces the likelihood of atelectasis due to mucous accumulation, and increases patient tolerance when used for long periods.¹⁴

Even in elderly patients with functional dependence, like those in our series, the degree of comfort is significant because the use of a cannula as the interface enables patients to speak, eat, and take medication without interrupting oxygenation. It is important to highlight two aspects of this system: that it is easy to learn and use, and that it can be used in conventional hospital rooms without the need for constant monitoring.

As in the study by Roca et al.,¹² the reduction in respiratory rate (a sign of clinical improvement) was at no time associated with changes in arterial CO_2 pressure or pH.

The most commonly described side effects in the literature with respect to the use of HFT systems are: cervical and tracheal discomfort, feeling hot, and nasal mucosal lesions.¹⁵ The first 2 are mild and disappear in most cases after the adaptation period, as was the case in 2 of our patients. Mucosal lesions are reported less often and are related to the misuse of the technique.

In short, the use of HFT systems is a good alternative to traditional oxygenation systems for the treatment of patients with ARF secondary to AHF due to APE that have dyspnea and refractory hypoxemia. The system is well tolerated and produces significant improvements in clinical and gasometric parameters after 24 h.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Llorens P, Martín-Sánchez FJ, González-Armengol JJ, Herrero P, Jacob J, Álvarez AB, et al. Perfil clínico de los pacientes con insuficiencia cardíaca aguda en los servicios de urgencias. Datos preliminares del Estudio EAHFE (Epidemiology Acute Heart Failure Emergency). Emergencias. 2008;20:153–63.
- Carratalá JM, Llorens P, Brouzet B, Carbajosa J, Albert-Jiménez AR, Martínez-Beloqui E, et al. Ventilación no invasiva en insuficiencia cardiaca aguda: perfil clínico y evolución de pacientes atendidos en un servicio de urgencias hospitalario. Emergencias. 2010;22:187–92.
- Lista-Arias E, Jacob-Rodríguez J, Palom-Rico X, Llopis-Roca F, Alonso-Fernández G. CPAP de Boussignac en urgencias. Emergencias. 2010;22:315–6.
- Chatila W, Nugent T, Vance G, Gaughan J, Criner GJ. The effects of high-flow vs low-flow oxygen on exercise in advanced obstructive airways disease. Chest. 2004;126:1108–15.
- Williams AB, Ritchie JE, Gerard C. Evaluation of a high-flow nasal oxygen delivery system: gas analysis and pharyngeal pressures. Intensive Care Med. 2006;32:S219.
- Tobin A. High-flow nasal oxygen generates positive airway pressure in adult volunteers. Aust Crit Care. 2007;20:126–31.
- Wilson RC, Jones PW. A comparison of the visual analogue scale and modified Borg scale for the measurement of dyspnoea during exercise. Clin Sci (Lond). 1989;76:277–82.
- Aitken RC. Measurement of feelings using visual analogue scales. Proc R Soc Med. 1969;62:989–93.
- Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Ponikowski P, Poole-Wilson PA, et al. Guía de práctica clínica de la Sociedad Europea de Cardiología (ESC) para el diagnóstico y tratamiento de la insuficiencia cardiaca aguda y crónica (2008). Rev Esp Cardiol. 2008;61:1329.e1–70.
- Carratalá JM, Masip J. Ventilación no invasiva en la insuficiencia cardiaca aguda: uso de CPAP en los servicios de urgencias. Emergencias. 2010;22:49–55.
- McGinley BM, Patil SP, Kirkness JP, Smith PL, Schwartz AR, Schneider H. A nasal cannula can be used to treat obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;176:194–200.
- Roca O, Riera J, Torres F, Masclans JR. High-flow therapy in acute respiratory failure. Respir Care. 2010;55:408–13.
- Wettstein RB, Shelledy DC, Peters JI. Delivered oxygen concentrations using low-flow and high-flow nasal cannulas. Respir Care. 2005;50:604–9.
- Rea H, McAuley S, Jayaram L, Garrett J, Hockey H, Storey L, et al. The clinical utility of long-term humidification therapy in chronic airway disease. Respir Med. 2010;104:525–33.
- Chanques G, Constantin JM, Sauter M, Jung B, Sebbane M, Verzilli D, et al. Discomfort associated with under-humidified high-flow oxygen therapy in critically. Intens Care Med. 2009;35:996–1003.