Original article

Differential Effect of Glycosylated Hemoglobin Value and Antidiabetic Treatment on the Risk of 30-day Readmission Following a Hospitalization for Acute Heart Failure



Julio Núñez,^{a,*} Clara Bonanad,^a Juan Paulo Navarro,^a Lourdes Bondanza,^a Ana Artero,^b Silvia Ventura,^a Eduardo Núñez,^a Gema Miñana,^a Juan Sanchis,^a and José Real^b

^a Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Clínico Universitario, INCLIVA, Universitat de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

^b Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Clínico Universitario, INCLIVA, CIBERDEM and Universitat de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

Article history: Received 24 July 2014 Accepted 21 October 2014 Available online 17 March 2015

Keywords: Glycosylated hemoglobin Glycemic control Diabetes treatment Acute heart failure Readmission risk

Palabras clave: Glucohemoglobina Control de la glucemia Tratamiento de la diabetes Insuficiencia cardiaca aguda Riesgo de reingreso

ABSTRACT

Introduction and objectives: In patients with heart failure and type 2 diabetes, low glycosylated hemoglobin has been related with higher risk of mortality but information regarding morbidity is scarce. We sought to evaluate the association between glycosylated hemoglobin and 30-day readmission in patients with type 2 diabetes and acute heart failure.

Methods: Glycosylated hemoglobin was measured before discharge in 835 consecutive patients with acute heart failure and type 2 diabetes. Cox regression analysis adapted for competing events was used. *Results:* Mean (standard deviation) age was 72.9 (9.6) years and median glycosylated hemoglobin was 7.2% (6.5%-8.0%). Patients treated with insulin or insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides were 41.1% and 63.2% of the cohort, respectively. At 30 days post-discharge, 109 (13.1%) patients were readmitted. A multivariate analysis revealed that the effect of glycosylated hemoglobin on the risk of 30-day readmission was differentially affected by the type of treatment (*P* for interaction < .01). Glycosylated hemoglobin (per 1% decrease) was inversely associated with higher risk in those receiving insulin (hazard ratio = 1.45; 95% confidence interval, 1.13-1.86; *P* = .003) or insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides (hazard ratio = 1.44; 95% confidence interval, 1.16-1.80; *P* = .001). Conversely, glycosylated hemoglobin (per 1% increase) had no effect in non-insulin dependent diabetes (hazard ratio = 1.01; 95% confidence interval, 0.87-1.17; *P* = .897) or even a positive effect in patients not receiving insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides (hazard ratio = 1.12; 95% confidence interval, 1.03-1.22; *P* = .011).

Conclusions: In acute heart failure, glycosylated hemoglobin showed to be inversely associated to higher risk of 30-day readmission in insulin-dependent or those treated with insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides. A marginal effect was found in the rest. Whether this association reflects a treatment-related effect or a surrogate of more advanced disease should be clarified in further studies.

© 2014 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Efecto diferencial de la glucohemoglobina y el tratamiento antidiabético sobre el riesgo de reingreso a 30 días después de un ingreso por insuficiencia cardiaca aguda

RESUMEN

Introducción y objetivos: En los pacientes con insuficiencia cardiaca y diabetes tipo 2, las cifras bajas de glucohemoglobina se han relacionado con un riesgo más elevado de mortalidad, pero la información relativa a la morbilidad es escasa. El objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar la asociación existente entre la glucohemoglobina y el reingreso en un plazo de 30 días en los pacientes con diabetes tipo 2 e insuficiencia cardiaca aguda.

Métodos: Se determinó la glucohemoglobina antes del alta en 835 pacientes consecutivos con insuficiencia cardiaca aguda y diabetes tipo 2. Se utilizó un análisis de regresión de Cox adaptado para eventos competitivos.

Resultados: La media de edad fue de 72,9 \pm 9,6 años y la mediana de la glucohemoglobina fue de 7,2% (6,5-8,0%). Los pacientes tratados con insulina o con insulina/sulfonilurea/meglitinidas constituyeron un 41,1 y un 63,2% de la cohorte, respectivamente. A los 30 días del alta, 109 (13,1%) pacientes habían tenido un reingreso en el hospital. El análisis multivariante reveló que el efecto de la glucohemoglobina sobre el riesgo de reingreso en 30 días se veía afectado de manera diferente según el tipo de tratamiento (p para la

* Corresponding author: Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Avda. Blasco Ibáñez 17, 46010 Valencia, Spain. *E-mail address:* yulnunez@gmail.com (J. Núñez).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2014.10.019

1885-5857/© 2014 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

interacción < 0,01). La glucohemoglobina (por cada 1% de disminución) presentaba una asociación inversa con un mayor riesgo en los pacientes tratados con insulina (*hazard ratio* = 1,45; intervalo de confianza del 95%, 1,13-1,86; p = 0,003) o con insulina/sulfonilurea/meglitinidas (*hazard ratio* = 1,44; intervalo de confianza del 95%, 1,16-1,80; p = 0,001). En cambio, la glucohemoglobina (por cada 1% de aumento) no tenía efecto alguno en la diabetes no insulinodependiente (*hazard ratio* = 1,01; intervalo de confianza del 95%, 0,87-1,17; p = 0,897) o mostraba incluso un efecto positivo en los pacientes no tratados con insulina/sulfonilurea/meglitinidas (*hazard ratio* = 1,12; intervalo de confianza del 95%, 1,03-1,22; p = 0,011).

Conclusiones: En la insuficiencia cardiaca aguda, la glucohemoglobina mostró una asociación inversa con el riesgo de reingreso en 30 días en los pacientes insulinodependientes o en los tratados con insulina/sulfonilurea/meglitinidas. En el resto de pacientes se observó un efecto marginal. En futuros estudios deberá esclarecerse si esa asociación refleja un efecto relacionado con el tratamiento o bien es un indicador indirecto de una enfermedad más avanzada.

© 2014 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Abbreviations

AHF: acute heart failure DM2: type 2 diabetes mellitus HbA_{1c}: glycosylated hemoglobin HF: heart failure Ins/SU/MG: insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides

INTRODUCTION

Risk of early readmission in patients recently discharged for acute heart failure (AHF) remains prohibitively high.^{1,2} Readmissions are usually associated to increased mortality and constitute an excessive health-care burden.¹ Contemporary heart failure (HF) programs and institutional initiatives set reduction in the rate of early readmissions as a main target.^{3–5} Unfortunately, there are no well-established risk factors to identify patients at higher/maximum risk.⁶ Diabetes mellitus is a common comorbidity in HF and its optimal management remains unclear. Recent studies and current guidelines have stressed the potential deleterious effects of intensive glucose-lowering strategies and subsequent higher risk of hypoglycemic events in certain subgroups, such as those with advanced cardiovascular (CV) diseases.^{7–10} In accordance with these statements, some epidemiological and observational studies have shown a U-shape pattern or inverse relationship between glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) and mortality in patients with HF and diabetes mellitus.¹¹⁻ Nevertheless, no data are available regarding the effect of HbA_{1c} on the risk of readmission, especially after an episode of decompensation and according to the type of antidiabetic treatment.

Hypoglycemia occurs mainly through activation of the sympathoadrenal system, but also by promoting endothelial dysfunction and inflammation. Among the effects are increased systolic blood pressure, heart rate, risk of arrhythmias, myocardial ischemia, and fluid accumulation/redistribution, conditions that are well known as precipitating factors for HF decompensation.^{8,14,15} Along this line, we postulate that lower HbA_{1c} values in type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) patients with a recent hospitalization for AHF, especially those treated with antidiabetic drugs that increase the risk of hypoglycemia, could possibly identify those at higher risk of early readmission.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the association of HbA_{1c}, measured during an index admission for AHF, and the risk of 30-day unplanned readmission, and determine whether the type of antidiabetic treatment differentially modifies this association.

METHODS

Study Sample

We included 2079 consecutive patients admitted to the cardiology department of a tertiary center (Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Spain) from January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2013 with a principal diagnosis of AHF. This was defined as rapid onset of symptoms and signs of abnormal cardiac function together with objective evidence of structural or functional abnormality of the heart at rest (cardiomegaly, third heart sound, cardiac murmur, abnormal echocardiogram, or increased natriuretic peptides).^{16–18} In all patients, intravenous treatment with furosemide was prescribed, at least during the first 48 h of admission. By design, patients without prior diagnostic of DM2 at the index hospitalization (n = 1173) were excluded. Additionally, hospital deaths (n = 34) and patients with final diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome (n = 20) and pneumonia (n = 16) were also excluded from this analysis. The final sample included 835 individuals (Figure of the supplementary material).

Before discharge, information related to demography, medical history, vital parameters, 12-lead electrocardiogram, standard laboratory, echocardiographic parameters, and pharmacologic therapies were routinely recorded using pre-established registry questionnaires. Standard laboratory tests were obtained before discharge (median of 4 days [interquartile range, 3-6 days]). Treatment with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, beta-blockers, aldosterone antagonists, anticoagulants, diuretics, and other therapeutic strategies were individualized following established guidelines in effect at the time the patient was recruited in the registry.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

Diabetes Treatment and Glycosylated Hemoglobin Measurement

Antidiabetic treatment (insulin, sulfonylureas, meglitinides, metformin, thiazolidinedione, inhibitors of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 and alpha glucosidase inhibitors) was recorded at discharge. Blood HbA_{1c} along with standard laboratory tests were measured during hospitalization (median of 4 days [interquartile range, 3-6 days] after admission). For analysis purposes, patients were categorized in 2 groups, according to the hazard of hypoglycemic events: *a*) high risk of hypoglycemic events (insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides [Ins/SU/MG], and *b*) low risk of hypoglycemic events (dipeptidyl peptidase 4 and alpha glucosidase inhibitors).

Outcomes

The primary endpoint was 30-day all-cause unplanned readmission after discharge. Secondary endpoints were 30-day CV cause and AHF readmission. Readmission definition included unplanned in-hospital stay longer than 24 h and was classified as CV and non-CV causes (including AHF hospitalizations). These endpoints were ascertained by a physician blinded to the exposures (HbA_{1c} values and antidiabetic treatment) through a review of hospital records. This study conforms to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by an institutional review committee. All patients gave informed consent.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean (1 standard deviation) or median [interquartile range] when appropriate. Discrete variables were summarized as percentages. Baseline characteristics were compared among the quartiles (Q1, Q2, Q3, and Q4) of HbA_{1c}. An adapted version of Cox regression that takes into account the effect of all-cause mortality and other causes of readmission as competing events (method of Fine and Gray) was used to examine the independent association between HbA_{1c} and 30-day unplanned all-cause, CV, and AHF readmissions.¹⁹ For any regression model, all covariates shown in Table 1 were evaluated for prognostic purposes. Reduced and parsimonious models were derived by using backward stepwise selection with a p-value of 0.157 (AIC criterion) for variable inclusion. During this selection process, the linearity assumption for all continuous variables was simultaneously tested and the variable transformed, if appropriate, with fractional polynomials.²⁰ Covariates included in the final multivariate model for 30-day all-cause readmission were age, prior admission for AHF, Charlson comorbidity index, the interaction between atrial fibrillation and heart rate, the interaction between left ventricular ejection fraction \leq 35% and systolic blood pressure, plasma antigen carbohydrate 125, urea, and the dose of furosemide equivalent prescribed at discharge. Covariates included in the final multivariate model for 30-day CV readmission were prior admission for AHF, etiology, Charlson comorbidity index, the interaction between atrial fibrillation and heart rate, the interaction between left ventricular ejection fraction < 35% and systolic blood pressure, high sensitivity troponin, and furosemide dose at discharge. Covariates included in the final multivariate model for AHF readmission were prior admission for AHF, Charlson comorbidity index, the interaction between atrial fibrillation and heart rate, the interaction between left ventricular ejection fraction < 35% and systolic blood pressure, antigen carbohydrate 125, and urea. Proportionality assumption for the hazard function over time was tested by means of the Schoenfeld residuals. Discriminative ability of the multivariate models was evaluated with Harrell's C-statistics.

A 2-sided *P*-value of < .05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses. All survival analyses were performed using STATA 13.1 (StataCorp. 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13.1. College Station, Texas: StataCorp LP).

RESULTS

Mean age was 72.9 (9.6) years, 49.2% were females, 48.7% showed left ventricular ejection fraction < 50%, and the median HbA_{1c} value was 7.2% (6.5%-8.0%). The antidiabetic treatment was insulin (41.1%), metformin (32.9%), sulfonylureas (22.5%), meglitinides (5.3%), inhibitors of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (4.5%),

alpha glucosidase inhibitors (2.9%), and thiazolidinediones (0.5%). Patients treated with at least one hypoglycemic agent (Ins/SU/MG) accounted for 63.2% of the sample.

Baseline Characteristics Across Glycosylated Hemoglobin

Overall, lower HbA_{1c} values were associated with a worse baseline risk profile. A monotonic increase in age. N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, serum creatinine, left atrial diameter, and Charlson comorbidity index was observed when moving from HbA_{1c} -Q4 to HbA_{1c} -Q1 (Table 1); the same was true for the prevalence of hypertension, dyslipidemia, previous smoker, significant valvular disease, and prior known renal failure. Likewise, lower values of systolic/diastolic blood pressure, hemoglobin, total cholesterol, leukocyte count, and glomerular filtration rate predominated at the lower quartiles (Table 1). In regard to medications, those patients belonging to the lower quartiles of HbA_{1c} had higher prevalence in the prescription of aldosterone receptor blockers and lower for insulin, alpha glucosidase inhibitors, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers. No significant differences were found for variables including other HF-drugs and other oral antidiabetic agents when tested across quartiles of HbA_{1c} (Table 2).

Baseline Characteristics Across Antidiabetic Treatment

Patients treated with insulin or Ins/SU/MG exhibited worse baseline risk profile. Briefly, these patients exhibited greater comorbidity (peripheral artery disease, renal failure and prior admission for AHF). Likewise, these patients showed higher prevalence of ischemic heart disease, lower mean hemoglobin, and higher glycemic profile. No significant differences were observed between HF-treatment groups (Tables 1 and 2 of the supplementary material).

Glycosylated Hemoglobin Antidiabetic Agents and Risk of 30-day Readmission

At 30 days after discharge, 17 (2.0%) patients had died (3 of them without readmission) and 109 (13.1%) were readmitted, mostly for CV causes ([n = 80 [73.4%]). Among CV causes, AHF was the most frequent diagnosis (n = 52 [65% CV]) Figure 1 summarizes the most common causes of readmission.

In the whole sample, 30-day readmission rates differed across HbA1c-Q. There was a monotonic increase of rate of readmission from Q4 to Q1 (10.5%, 11.0%, 12.0% and 18.8%, respectively; P for trend = .016). Further analysis revealed a divergent association between HbA_{1c} quartiles and rates of 30day readmission according to the type of antidiabetic therapy. Thus, in patients receiving Ins/SU/MG, an inverse relationship was found between HbA_{1c} quartiles and 30-day rates of readmission (26.5%, 15.3%, 10.5%, and 7.2%, for Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4, respectively; *P* for trend < .001). This inverse relationship was found for both insulin-DM2 (26.2%, 14.6%, 12.4% and 7.1%, for Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4, respectively; P for trend < .001) and sulfonylureas treatment (30.2%, 17.7%, 10.5%, and 9.5%, respectively; *P* for trend < .001), analyzed as single agents. Conversely, in those not treated with Ins/SU/MG, a borderline significant increase in readmission rate was found from lower to upper quartiles (8.8%, 7.1%, 12.0% and 19.6% for Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4, respectively; *P* for trend = .087). No differences, however, were found for HbA_{1c} quartiles

Table 1

Baseline Characteristics According to Glycosylated Hemoglobin Levels

Variables	All (n=835)	HbA _{1c} quartiles				<i>P</i> -value for trend
		Q1 (4.8%-6.5%) (n=208)	Q2 (6.5%-7.2%) (n=209)	Q3 (7.2%-8.0%) n=209	Q4 (8.0%-17.8%) n=209	
Demographic and medical history				Ť.		
Age, mean (SD), years	72.8 (9.50)	73.5 (9.50)	73.7 (8.52)	73.2 (9.20)	70.9 (10.60)	.012
Male	424 (50.8)	108 (51.9)	109 (52.1)	113 (54.1)	94 (45.0)	.221
First admission for AHF	397 (47.6)	91 (43.7)	113 (54.1)	99 (47.4)	94 (45.2)	.877
LOS, days	8 (6)	8 (7)	8 (6)	8 (6)	8 (6)	.950
Hypertension	713 (85.4)	182 (87.5)	182 (87.1)	182 (87.1)	167 (79.9)	.037
Dyslipidemia	493 (59.0)	133 (63.9)	132 (63.2)	114 (54.5)	114 (54.5)	.016
Current smoker	85 (10.2)	15 (7.2)	16 (7.7)	16 (7.7)	38 (18.3)	<.001
Previous smoker	203 (24.3)	61 (29.3)	52 (24.9)	59 (28.2)	31 (14.9)	.003
Ischemic heart disease	393 (47.1)	95 (45.7)	106 (50.7)	101 (48.3)	91 (43.5)	.568
Valvular heart disease	180 (21.6)	51 (24.5)	56 (26.9)	36 (17.2)	37 (17.7)	.018
Charlson index	2 [3]	3 [3]	2 [3]	2 [2]	2 [2]	<.001
COPD	179 (21.4)	51 (24.5)	41 (19.6)	41 (19.6)	46 (22.0)	.555
PAD	115 (13.8)	27 (13.0)	35 (16.7)	30 (14.3)	23 (11.0)	.434
Stroke	90 (10.8)	17 (8.2)	26 (12.4)	28 (13.4)	19 (9.1)	.702
Prior known renal failure	181 (21.7)	59 (28.4)	54 (25.8)	38 (18.2)	30 (14.3)	<.001
Radiological pleural effusion	399 (47.8)	109 (52.4)	101 (48.3)	94 (45.0)	95 (45.4)	.104
Peripheral edema	533 (63.8)	131 (63.0)	141 (67.5)	130 (62.2)	131 (62.7)	.639
Vital signs						
Heart rate, mean (SD), bpm	97 (27)	93 (26)	95 (26)	99 (26)	102 (28)	<.001
SBP, mean (SD), mmHg	150 (35)	146 (34)	147 (32)	153 (35)	156 (39)	.001
DBP, mean (SD), mmHg	81 (19)	79 (19)	78 (18)	82 (18)	85 (22)	<.001
Electrocardiogram						
Atrial fibrillation	298 (35.7)	72 (34.6)	82 (39.2)	69 (33.0)	75 (35.9)	.870
QRS > 120 ms	258 (30.9)	68 (32.7)	66 (31.6)	71 (34.0)	53 (25.4)	.170
Laboratory						
Hemoglobin, mean (SD), g/dL	12.2 (2.0)	11.7 (1.9)	12.0 (1.9)	12.5 (1.9)	12.6 (2.0)	<.001
Leukocyte count, mean (SD), 10 ³ cells/mL	10 389 (4203)	9759 (4025)	9721 (3495)	11 005 (3929)	11 070 (5035)	<.001
Fasting glucose, mean (SD), mg/dL	147 (64)	122 (39)	135 (36)	152 (49)	180 (96)	<.001
HbA _{1c} , mean (SD), %	7.4 (1.4)	6.0 (0.4)	6.9 (0.2)	7.5 (0.2)	9.3 (1.5)	<.001
Sodium, mean (SD), mEq/L	138 (4)	139 (4)	139 (4)	138 (4)	138 (5)	<.001
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	3237 [4142]	3647 [4766]	3606 [5615]	3109 [3281]	2300 [3526]	<.001
CA125, U/mL	58.0 [100.8]	49.6 [77.6]	65.0 [98.0]	56.9 [105.2]	66.5 [119.0]	.391
Total cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL	163 (46)	159 (44)	156 (43)	164 (48)	173 (46)	<.001
Creatinine, mean (SD), mg/dL	1.33 (0.68)	1.39 (0.79)	1.44 (0.75)	1.32 (0.62)	1.19 (0.51)	.014
Urea, mean (SD), mg/dL	70.5 (32.6)	71.1 (32.9)	74.8 (37.3)	71.2 (31.9)	64.9 (27.0)	.062
eGFR, mean (SD), mL/min/1.73 m ²	58.9 (23.9)	58.8 (25.3)	55.1 (23.7)	59.0 (22.9)	62.6 (23.0)	.038
Echocardiography						
LVEF, mean (SD), %	49.7 (15.3)	50.2 (16.0)	50.0 (15.2)	48.8 (14.9)	50.1 (15.0)	.679
LVDD, mean (SD), mm	55 (9)	56 (10)	55 (9)	55 (10)	55 (9)	.669
LAD, mean (SD), mm	43 (7)	44 (8)	43 (7)	42 (6)	42 (7)	.001

AHF, acute heart failure; bpm, beats per minute; CA125, antigen carbohydrate 125; COPD, chronic pulmonary obstructive disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA_{1c}, glycosylated hemoglobin; LAD, left atrial diameter; LOS, length of stay; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVDD, left ventricular diastolic diameter; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; PAD, peripheral artery disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation. Unless otherwise indicated, data are expressed as No. (%), mean (standard deviation) or median [interquartile range].

(15.4%, 10.2%, 9.8% and 13.6%, respectively; P for trend = .443) in those patients not receiving insulin.

In a multivariate setting, after adjusting for risk factors and accounting for the effect of 30-day mortality as a competing event, this differential prognostic effect persisted (*P*-value for interactions < .05). Glycosylated hemoglobin value was inverse and linearly associated to higher risk of readmission in patients treated

with insulin (hazard: ratio = 1.45; 95% confidence interval, 1.13-1.86; p = .003, per 1% decrease) or Ins/SU/MG (hazard ratio = 1.44; 95% confidence interval, 1.16-1.80; P = .001, per 1% decrease) (Figures 2 and 3). For instance, insulin-treated patients and those receiving Ins/SU/MG, HbA_{1c}-Q1 ($\leq 6.5\%$) exhibited a 3.5 and 3.4fold adjusted increase risk vs HbA_{1c}-Q2-Q4 (P = .010 and P = .001, respectively). Likewise, and using a reference HbA_{1c} threshold of

Table 2

Pharmacological Treatment According to Glycosylated Hemoglobin Levels

Variables	All (n=835)	HbA _{1c} quartiles				P-value for trend
		Q1 (4.8%-6.5%) n=208	Q2 (6.5%-7.2%) n=209	Q3 (7.2%-8.0%) n=209	Q4 (8.0%-17.8%) n=209	
Medical treatment at discharge				1		
Beta-blockers	508 (60.8)	125 (60.1)	129 (61.7)	133 (63.6)	121 (57.9)	.775
ACE inhibitors or ARB	588 (70.4)	139 (66.8)	138 (66.0)	153 (73.2)	158 (75.6)	.018
Aldosterone antagonist blockers	290 (34.7)	86 (41.3)	74 (35.4)	68 (32.5)	62 (29.7)	.010
Furosemide equivalent dose, mg/day	80 [80]	80 [40]	80 [80]	80 [80]	80 [50]	.822
Insulin	343 (41.1)	65 (31.2)	82 (39.2)	97 (46.4)	99 (47.4)	<.001
Sulfonylureas	188 (22.5)	53 (25.5)	34 (16.3)	38 (18.2)	63 (30.1)	.217
Meglitinides	44 (5.3)	12 (5.8)	17 (8.1)	9 (4.3)	6 (2.9)	.070
Metformin	275 (32.9)	68 (32.7)	68 (32.5)	72 (34.4)	67 (32.1)	1
Glitazones	5 (0.6)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	2 (1.0)	1 (0.5)	.844
Alpha glucosidase inhibitors	24 (2.9)	1 (0.5)	6 (2.9)	8 (3.8)	9 (4.3)	.016
DPP-4 inhibitors	34 (4.5)	11 (5.3)	9 (4.3)	13 (6.2)	5 (2.4)	.294

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin.

Unless otherwise indicated, data are expressed No. (%) or median [interquartile range].

7%, those Ins/SU/MG and DM2 patients with values of HbA_{1c} between 6.9% and 5.0% exhibited an increased risk ranging from 4% to 210% (Figures 2 and 3).

In contrast, HbA_{1c} value was not related with the outcome in patients not receiving insulin treatment (hazard ratio = 1.01; 95% confidence interval, 0.87-1.17; P = .897, per 1% increase) and was positively associated with an increased risk in patients not treated with Ins/SU/MG (hazard ratio = 1.12; 95 confidence interval, 1.03-1.22; P = .011, per 1% increase) (Figures 2 and 3). A similar differential prognostic effect was observed for CV-readmission or AHF-readmission when evaluated as endpoints (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Early rehospitalization rates after an admission for HF decompensation remain unacceptably high, and represent a substantial problem to both patients and the healthcare system.^{1,2} Recent institutional initiatives recognize the need to decrease 30-day readmission as a health care priority.^{3–5} Unfortunately,



Figure 1. Causes of 30-day readmission. AHF, acute heart failure; CV, cardiovascular.

accurate readmission risk stratification remains an unmet challenge⁶ and several interventions during the past decade did not decrease the rates of HF-related hospitalizations.²¹

In the present study, we found that HbA_{1c} value predicted 30-day unplanned rehospitalization in DM2 patients recently admitted for AHF. However, this effect was not uniform among the population as a whole. In fact, the predictive ability of HbA_{1c} varied according to the treatment received for glycemic control in T2DM. Interestingly, low values of HbA_{1c} strongly predicted higher risk of readmission in patients treated with insulin, sulfonylureas, or meglitinides, with a slight protector effect in the rest of patients. To the best of our knowledge, these results are novel in suggesting a treatment-related hypoglycemia as a main factor explaining the inverse relationship between HbA_{1c} and early readmission in DM2 patients or in those receiving Ins/SU/MG. In the subgroup of patients not receiving Ins/SU/MG, the slight excess of risk attributable to higher HbA_{1c} might be due to a higher risk of metabolic-related complications but also to a better baseline risk profile. Importantly, if reproduced in further studies, these results may have potential clinical implications, such as the following: *a*) need to monitor glycemic control during an episode of HF decompensation for short-term risk stratification, and *b*) avoidance of intensive glycemic control strategies (stringent glycemic targets) following an episode of AHF.

Glycemic control in diabetes mellitus with heart failure

Current guidelines for the treatment of hyperglycemia in patients with DM2 highlight the importance of individualization of therapy based on patient needs, comorbid conditions, and potential adverse effects of hyperglycemic treatments.¹⁰ Intensive glycemic control, obtaining low HbA_{1c} values prone to higher risk of hypoglycemic events, has been related to higher morbidity and mortality, especially in certain subgroups of comorbid and frail diabetics.¹⁰ In this regard, recent observational studies done in patients with diabetes and established HF have revealed a paradoxical effect between glycemic control and adverse outcomes. Most of these studies have found either a U-shaped pattern



Figure 2. Adjusted effect of glycosylated hemoglobin on the risk of 30-day readmission according to antidiabetic treatment. HbA_{1c}, glycosylated hemoglobin; Ins/SU/MG, insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides. Interaction *P*-value = .001.



Figure 3. Adjusted effect of glycosylated hemoglobin on the risk of 30-day readmission according to insulin treatment. HbA_{1c}, glycosylated hemoglobin. Interaction *P*-value = .001.

Table 3

Glycosylated Hemoglobin Hazard Ratios for 30-day All-cause, Cardiovascular and Acute Heart Failure Readmission

Cox models	HR (95%CI)	P-value	HR (95%CI)	P-value	<i>P</i> -value for interaction	C-statistics		
30-day all-cause readmission ^a								
	Non-Ins/SU/MG		Ins/SU/MG					
HbA _{1c} , per increase/decrease in 1%	1.12 (1.03-1.22)/0.89 (0.82-0.97)	.011	0.70 (0.56-0.87)/1.44 (1.16-1.80)	.001	< 0.001	0.749		
	Noninsulin-DM2		Insulin-DM2					
HbA _{1c} , per increase/decrease in 1%	(0.87-1.17)/0.99 (0.85-1.15)	.897	0.69 (0.54-0.88)/1.45 (1.13-1.86)	.003	0.010	0.741		
30-days cardiovascular readmission ^b								
	Non-Ins/SU/MG		Ins/SU/MG					
HbA _{1c} , per increase/decrease in 1%	1.19 (1.08-1.32)/0.84 (0.76-0.93)	.001	0.75 (0.59-0.95) /1.34 (1.08-1.67)	.017	< 0.001	0.776		
	Noninsulin-DM2		Insulin-DM2					
HbA _{1c} , per increase/decrease in 1%	1.09 (0.92-1.28)/0.92 (0.78-1.08)	.310	0.74 (0.56-0.98)/1.35 (1.02-1.79)	.039	0.021	0.776		
30-days acute heart failure readmission ^c								
	Non-Ins/SU/MG		Ins/SU/MG					
HbA _{1c} , per increase/decrease in 1%	1.18 (1.06-1.31)/0.85 (0.76-0.95)	.010	0.74 (0.53-1.04)/1.35 (0.97-1.88)	.079	0.010	0.806		
	Noninsulin-DM2		Insulin-DM2					
HbA _{1c} , per increase/decrease in 1%	1.11 (0.95-1.30)/0.90 (0.77-1.04)	.169	0.73 (0.51-1.04)/1.38 (0.96-1.97)	.080	0.031	0.801		

95%CI, 95% confidence interval; DM2, type 2 diabetes mellitus; HbA_{1c}, glycosylated hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; Ins/SU/MG, insulin/sulfonylurea/meglitinides (drugs that increased hypoglycemia risk).

^a Competing risk model adjusted by 30-day all-cause mortality and the following covariates: age, prior admission for acute heart failure, Charlson comorbidity index (interaction between atrial fibrillation and heart rate; interaction between left ventricular ejection fraction \leq 35% and systolic blood pressure), plasma antigen carbohydrate 125, urea, and furosemide equivalent dose at discharge.

^b Competing risk model adjusted by 30-day all-cause mortality, noncardiovascular readmission, and the following covariates: prior admission for acute heart failure, etiology, Charlson comorbidity index, interaction (atrial fibrillation*heart rate), interaction (left ventricular ejection fraction \leq 35% * systolic blood pressure), high sensitivity troponin, and furosemide dose at discharge.

^c Competing risk model adjusted by 30-day all-cause mortality, nonacute heart failure readmission and the following covariates: prior admission for acute heart failure, Charlson comorbidity index (interaction between atrial fibrillation and heart rate; interaction between left ventricular ejection fraction \leq 35% and systolic blood pressure), plasma antigen carbohydrate 125, and urea.

or an inverse relationship between HbA_{1c} value and mortality.^{11–13} For instance, in a study of 5815 ambulatory HF diabetic patients, individuals with modest glycemic control (HbA_{1c} > 7.1%-7.8%) had lower mortality compared with HbA_{1c} levels that were either higher or lower.¹¹ An inverse association between HbA_{1c} values and adverse outcomes also has been documented in smaller cohorts of patients with diabetes and advanced systolic HF.^{12,13} In the setting of interventional studies, the evidence about this dualeffect of HbA_{1c} in HF is even scarcer. Recent randomized clinical trials of patients with established DM2 and either CV disease or high risk for CV disease have failed to demonstrate significant reduction in major CV outcomes with more intensive glycemic control (HbA_{1c} < 6.0%-6.5%), despite significant improvements of glycemic control.⁷⁻¹⁰ In these trials, HF patients have been excluded or underrepresented. Only in a subgroup analysis of the ACCORD trial, a 5% (n = 494) of individuals enrolled had a previous diagnosis of HF.^{9,22} In this HF subgroup, a significant (25%) increase in mortality risk was reported in those patients randomized to intensive glycemic control strategy.²² Unfortunately, to date no controlled trials addressing the optimal treatment and glycemic targets, specifically in HF patients with diabetes, have been performed.

Hypoglycemia and Adverse Events

There is evidence endorsing a theory that hypoglycemia, mainly through activation of the sympathoadrenal system, increases systolic blood pressure, heart rate, risk of arrhythmias, myocardial ischemia, and fluid accumulation/redistribution, all factors linked to HF decompensations.^{8,14,15} In addition, it has been reported that when glycemic values are low, muscle cells shift to free fatty acids as the principal fuel. Long-term use of free fatty acids increases beta-oxidation and mitochondrial-derived H₂O₂, oxidative stress, and signals that contribute to muscle cell dysfunction and apoptosis.²³ This can be another relevant pathophysiological mechanism endorsing the relationship between low HbA_{1c} and adverse events in HF.

Low Glycosylated Hemoglobin and Adverse Events: An Epiphenomenon or a Treatment-related Effect?

Factors involved in the paradoxical association between low HbA_{1c} and adverse outcome remains a matter of debate. On one side are data endorsing hypoglycemia as a confounder of other surrogates of disease severity, rather than as having a causal relationship with treatment success.^{8,9,24} On the other, some findings suggest a treatment-related effect. For instance, a contemporary systematic review of observational studies, including 903 510 diabetic patients, found that severe hypoglycemia was associated with approximately twice the risk of CV disease. A bias analysis revealed that the observed association between severe hypoglycemia and CV disease may not be entirely due to confounding by comorbid severe illness.⁷

In the setting of patients with diabetes and HF, this controversy is especially relevant, for two reasons: *a*) these patients usually exhibit a high-risk profile for hypoglycemic episodes (longer history of diabetes, extensive comorbidity, and frailty), and *b*) the available data on this topic are scarce and heterogeneous. For instance, diabetic patients with HF enrolled in CHARM (Candesartan in Heart Failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity) who were treated with insulin had about two-fold increased risk of morbidity and mortality, compared to those who were not treated with insulin.² Conversely, in a cohort from the United States of 16 000 Medicare patients with diabetes recently discharged with HF. treatment with sulfonvlureas or insulin were not independently associated with higher risk of 1-year mortality and readmission.²⁶ Unfortunately, none of these studies explored the interactions between hypoglycemic agents, glycemic control status, and clinical outcomes. In the present study, although patients with lower HbA_{1c} had worse baseline risk profile, a thoroughly multivariate adjustment showed that HbA1c remained inversely and independently associated to higher risk of readmission in those receiving Ins/SU/MG-suggesting, at least in part, a treatment-related effect. In light of our results, and in agreement that the risk for severe to moderate hypoglycemias increases exponentially among patients treated with sulfonylureas, meglitinides, or insulin,²⁷ we postulate that both excessive low-glucose treatment and a high baseline risk for hypoglycemia might be the underlying factors behind the association between low HbA1c and 30-day readmission. Further controlled studies are needed to elucidate the optimal diabetes control in patients with a recent admission for AHF.

Limitations

This is a single-center observational study. Important risk factors for hypoglycemia such as evolution of diabetes, frailty, and treatment dosage were not available in the registry, which precludes their inclusion as covariates in the multivariate models. Diabetes treatments were grouped into categories; this impeded evaluating the contribution of each pharmacological agent to the present findings. In addition, hypoglycemic episodes were not monitored during the observation period, precluding any establishment of a temporal relationship between hypoglycemia and rehospitalization. Finally, information regarding metabolic control and therapeutic history prior this hospitalization was not assessed in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, in DM2 patients recently discharged for AHF, we found that Glycosylated hemoglobin value was differentially associated with the risk of 30-day readmission. HbA_{1c} was inversely related to higher risk of 30-day readmission in patients discharged with insulin or Ins/SU/MG. A marginal effect was found in the rest of diabetics. Whether this association reflects a treatment-related effect or merely a surrogate of more advanced disease should be clarified in further studies.

FUNDING

This work was supported in part by grants from *Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Red de Investigación Cardiovascular, Programa 7* (RD12/0042/0010).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None declared.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL



Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version available at doi:10.1016/j. rec.2014.10.019.

REFERENCES

- Sayago-Silva I, García-López F, Segovia-Cubero J. Epidemiología de la insuficiencia cardiaca en España en los últimos 20 años. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2013;66:649–56.
- Dharmarajan K, Hsieh AF, Lin Z, Bueno H, Ross JS, Horwitz LI, et al. Diagnoses and timing of 30-day readmissions after hospitalization for heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, or pneumonia. JAMA. 2013;309:355–3563.
- Huesch MD, Ong MK, Fonarow GC. Measuring heart failure care by 30-day readmission: Rethinking the quality of outcome measures. Am Heart J. 2013;166. 605-10.e2.
- Vaduganathan M, Bonow RO, Gheorghiade M. Thirty-day readmissions: the clock is ticking. JAMA. 2013;309:345–6.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Hospital Quality Overview [accessed 2014 Oct 21]. Available at: http://www.medicare.gov/ hospitalcompare/search.html
- Ross JS, Mulvey GK, Stauffer B, Patlolla V, Bernheim SM, Keenan PS, et al. Statistical models and patient predictors of readmission for heart failure: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:1371–86.
- 7. Goto A, Arah OA, Goto M, Terauchi Y, Noda M. Severe hypoglycaemia and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis with bias analysis. BMJ. 2013;347:f4533.
- Yakubovich N, Gerstein HC. Serious cardiovascular outcomes in diabetes: the role of hypoglycemia. Circulation. 2011;123:342–8.
- Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, Goff Jr DC, Bigger JT, Buse JB, et al.; Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008;358:2545–59.
- 10. Rydén L, Grant PJ, Anker SD, Berne C, Cosentino F, Danchin N, et al. Guía de práctica clínica de la ESC sobre diabetes, prediabetes y enfermedad cardiovas-cular, en colaboración con la European Association for the Study of Diabetes: Grupo de Trabajo de diabetes, prediabetes y enfermedades cardiovasculares de la Sociedad Europea de Cardiología (ESC) y la European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Rev Esp Cardiol. 2014;67. 136.e1-56.
- Aguilar D, Bozkurt B, Ramasubbu K, Deswal A. Relationship of hemoglobin A1C and mortality in heart failure patients with diabetes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54:422–8.
- Eshaghian S, Horwich TB, Fonarow GC. An unexpected inverse relationship between HbA1c levels and mortality in patients with diabetes and advanced systolic heart failure. Am Heart J. 2006;151:91.
- Tomova GS, Nimbal V, Horwich TB. Relation between hemoglobin A_{1c} and outcomes in heart failure patients with and without diabetes mellitus. Am J Cardiol. 2012;109:1767–73.
- Frier BM, Schernthaner G, Heller SR. Hypoglycemia and cardiovascular risks. Diabetes Care. 2011;34 Suppl 2:132–7.
- Fallick C, Sobotka PA, Dunlap ME. Sympathetically mediated changes in capacitance: redistribution of the venous reservoir as a cause of decompensation. Circ Heart Fail. 2011;4:669–75.
- 16. Nieminen MS, Böhm M, Cowie MR, Drexler H, Filippatos GS, Jondeau G, et al.; ESC Committe for Practice Guideline (CPG). Executive summary of the guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of acute heart failure: the Task Force on Acute Heart Failure of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2005;26:384–416.
- 17. Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Ponikowski P, Poole-Wilson PA, et al.; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG). ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2008 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association of the ESC (HFA) and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). Eur Heart J. 2008;29:2388–442.
- 18. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Böhm M, Dickstein K, et al.; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur J Heart Fail. 2012;14:803–69.
- Fine JP, Gray RJ. A Proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. J Am Stat Assoc. 1999;94:495–509.
- Harrell FE. Regression modeling strategies, with applications to linear models, survival analysis and logistic regression. New York: Springer; 2001.
- Butler J, Kalogeropoulos A. Hospital strategies to reduce heart failure readmissions: where is the evidence? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60:615–7.
- 22. Calles-Escandón J, Lovato LC, Simons-Morton DG, Kendall DM, Pop-Busui R, Cohen RM, et al. Effect of intensive compared with standard glycemia treatment strategies on mortality by baseline subgroup characteristics: the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial. Diabetes Care. 2010;33:721-7.

- Rindler PM, Crewe CL, Fernandes J, Kinter M, Szweda LI. Redox regulation of insulin sensitivity due to enhanced fatty acid utilization in the mitochondria. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2013;305:H634–43.
- 24. Miller ME, Bonds DE, Gerstein HC, Seaquist ER, Bergenstal RM, Calles-Escandon J, et al.; ACCORD Investigators. The effects of baseline characteristics, glycaemia treatment approach, and glycatedhaemoglobin concentration on the risk of severe hypoglycaemia: post hoc epidemiological analysis of the ACCORD study. BMJ. 2010;340:b5444.
- **25.** Pocock SJ, Wang D, Pfeffer MA, Yusuf S, McMurray JJ, Swedberg KB, et al. Predictors of mortality and morbidity in patients with chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:65–75.
- 26. Masoudi FA, Inzucchi SE, Wang Y, Havranek EP, Foody JM, Krumholz HM. Thiazolidinediones, metformin, and outcomes in older patients with diabetes and heart failure: an observational study. Circulation. 2005;111:583–90.
- 27. Hanefeld M, Duetting E, Bramlage P. Cardiac implications of hypoglycaemia in patients with diabetes—a systematic review. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2013;12:135.